

bidz

Received SEC

PROCESSED

JUN 1 3 2008

JUN 1 7 2008

THOMSON REUTERS

Washington, DC 20549

2007 Annual Report



June 2, 2008

Dear Bidz.com Stockholder:

2007 was an exciting year for Bidz.com. We achieved record sales of approximately \$187.1 million, up 42% from 2006, and our net income increased 236% from the prior year to \$18.1 million, as we continued to successfully meet growing customer demand for quality, value-priced jewelry in a fast and fun format. In 2007, we made significant improvement in terms of key transaction metrics, such as number of new buyers, number of orders per day, average number of items sold per day, sales volume, visitor traffic, and market share.

During the year, we increased our efforts in customer service in order to establish stronger, long-term relationships with our customers and encourage repeat visits and purchases. We enhanced our training process for customer service staff and increased the number of customer service reps available to assist our customers. We remain keenly focused on continuing to constantly improve our customer service efforts.

Also during 2007, we continued to further diversify our vendor relationships. In 2007, our top two suppliers accounted for approximately 24% of our total purchases, down from approximately 31% in the prior year. We believe that purchasing from a broader array of vendors is important, as it not only reduces our reliance on a few vendors, but it also diversifies our product offerings, giving our customers more reasons to shop. We continue to evaluate new suppliers who can help us grow our business and allow us to deliver value to our customers.

At the end of 2007, we successfully completed a major upgrade of our auction platform and LiveBid system. Our new platform offers faster end-user response time and greater scalability than our previous auction system. Our new platform enabled our recent launch of major new website features, including our online retail store, BUYZ.com. This new site allows consumers to make fixed price purchases, rather than participating in auctions. Buyz.com features an integrated checkout process with Bidz.com enabling customers from both sites to participate in auctions or fixed price purchases. This feature opens a wealth of cross-sell opportunities that will be explored in upcoming quarters.

The new systems enhancements also allowed us to launch our first translation of BIDZ.com into a foreign language, Spanish, during May 2008. Our international sales already represented about 22% of revenue by the end of 2007, and that was with the site only being available in English. With the Spanish translation now available, we expect the percentage of international sales to grow over time. Furthermore, we expect our site to be translated into additional languages later this year.



I would be remiss if I didn't recognize that our success begins with our customers, who have made us the 74th largest e-tailer, according to *Internet Retailer* magazine, and the number two online jewelry site. The increase in demand for our jewelry continues to show strong growth and our brand awareness continues to grow as well. However, we are still small, with abundant growth opportunities ahead as we work to further establish our brand, solidify vendor relationships, and provide excellent quality, value, and service to our customers.

We remain committed to achieving strong performance in 2008 and beyond. On behalf of our Board of Directors and executive team, I would like to thank our stockholders, employees, and customers for their continuing confidence, loyalty, and support.

Sincerely,

David Zinberg President and CEO

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0063 Expires: April 30, 2009

Estimated average burden hours per

response.. 2,196.00

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANG For the transition period from 33513 Commission file Number 900-51257 BIDZ.com, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) Delaware 95-4728109 State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer incorporation or organization Identification No.) 3562 Eastham Drive, Culver City, California 90232 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) Registrant's telephone number, including area code Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered Common Stock, \$.001 par value **NASDAQ Capital Market** Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, \$.001 par value (Title of class) Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. ☑ NO Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. ■ NO Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. ▼ YES ■ NO Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229,405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one): Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer X Non-accelerated filer □ Smaller reporting company (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). ■ NO ☐ YES The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant based upon the price of the common stock as of

deemed affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for others purposes. The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock was 24,556,354 as of February 29, 2008.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

December 31, 2007 was approximately \$118.2 million. For purposes hereof, directors, executive officers and 10% or greater shareholders have been

Information required by Part III (Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) is incorporated by reference to portions of our definitive proxy statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of December 31, 2007.

BIDZ.COM, INC.

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

Table of Contents

•	rage
PART I	
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	11
Item 1B.Unresolved Staff Comments	21
Item 2. Properties	21
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	21
Item 4. Submission of Matter to a Vote of Securities Holders	21
PART II	21
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	21
Item 6. Selected Financial data	23
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	32
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	32
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accounting on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	32
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	32
Item 9B. Other Information	33
PART III	33
Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	33
Item 11. Executive Compensation	33
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	33
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	33
Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	33
PART IV	34
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	34

Item 1. Business

Overview

We are a leading online retailer of jewelry, featuring a live auction format. We have established our retail brand in the online marketplace by offering high-quality merchandise, a unique user-friendly shopping experience, and the opportunity for buyers to achieve significant cost savings versus traditional retail channels. A key to our success has been our ability to efficiently and effectively source jewelry merchandise and rapidly respond to changing consumer demands for certain jewelry. We offer our products through a continuous live format, featuring a \$1 minimum opening bid, and a unique 15-second auction extension period that allows our auctions to continue until all bids are received. The majority of our auctions are short-term, often lasting less than one hour, providing immediate gratification to our customers and encouraging frequent visits and active viewing of our website. Our product inventory includes gold, platinum, and silver jewelry set with diamonds, rubies, emeralds, sapphires, and other precious and semi-precious stones; and watches. In addition to our own products, we have several independent merchants that sell art, collectibles and gift items. We offer to sell products through our website at www.bidz.com.

We sell to consumers looking for reliable bargains on jewelry. Because we purchase and retain all of our jewelry inventory on-site, we can provide our customers with timely service and delivery of their purchases. In addition, each item is inspected by one of our trained product specialists prior to its placement on our website, which assures our customers of the quality of their purchases of our products. We provide a trustworthy and secure buying environment with a 15-day return policy on all merchandise. We believe that some of our customers are resellers intending to sell our goods in secondary markets, such as on eBay, at local auctions, and through other retail channels. These resellers have the opportunity to purchase products at lower prices than they would receive from manufacturers or other distributors. Our auctions are conducted 24 hours a day, seven days a week. We also offer daily telephone customer support for payments, 24-hour live-help and e-mail customer support.

The following aspects of our innovative auction model differentiate us from other auction websites:

- a \$1 minimum bid format,
- interactive auctions with 15-second time extensions for all auctions each time a bid is received within 15 seconds of termination of the auction, and
- short-term auctions, often lasting less than one hour, providing our customers immediate gratification to encourage frequent visits and active viewing of our website.

There is no charge to a potential buyer for registering on our website or placing bids. Buyers have access to a broad selection of items without expensive additional markups or commissions to intermediaries. Our website offers detailed product information, including photographs of our merchandise. Our website also provides a dynamic display of auto-refreshing screens, enabling our customers to follow our in-progress auctions in real-time. Successful bidders are automatically notified when an auction is completed. To assist users further, we offer customer support via phone, live-help and e-mail seven days a week. We provide a mechanism for our customers to provide comments and feedback on their bidding and purchasing experience.

We purchase jewelry inventory directly from manufacturers and liquidators. We also closely monitor our sales trends to assess consumer demand for certain jewelry styles and categories and purchase such non-closeout inventory directly from manufacturers. We have eliminated various inefficiencies and mark-ups associated with traditional intermediaries in the jewelry market. Our sourcing model provides a critical alternative liquidation channel for manufacturers, for which disposal of closeout inventory represents a significant challenge. We have the flexibility to purchase a variety of lot sizes, and our centralized warehouse and fulfillment center enables our suppliers to ship their products to a single location, easing the burden on their infrastructures. We have developed a database of product descriptions and pictures that enables us to rapidly post inventory for sale. In addition, we closely monitor sales and gross margin trends of our products on a daily basis, allowing us to select carefully additional products for purchase and adjust supplier pricing as necessary.

History

We commenced operations in early 1998 by offering a limited selection of merchandise for sale on eBay's website through our predecessor, Asset Lenders of America, a group of 11 collateral lending stores. In the fall of 1998, we formed Bidz.com, Inc. as a California corporation, acquired Asset Lenders of America, and purchased the domain name www.bidz.com. In February 1999, we launched the www.bidz.com website and began offering closeout jewelry through our auction format. In November 1999, we merged Asset Lenders of America into our company. In June 2006, the Company reincorporated in the state of Delaware.

Industry Overview

Growth of Online Commerce and the Online Auction Market

The Internet's development into a significant global medium for communication, content, and commerce has led to substantial growth in online shopping and has provided online retailers with new opportunities to eliminate intermediaries from the traditional retail supply chain. Internet usage and online commerce continue to grow worldwide. The growth can be attributed to factors such as an increased awareness of the convenience of online shopping, an expanded range of products available online, improvements in security and electronic payment technology, and increased access to broadband Internet connections facilitating online shopping.

Online auction sites have created a robust global marketplace, which overcomes the inefficiencies associated with traditional auction purchasing while offering the benefits of online commerce. Companies such as eBay spearheaded the creation of a centralized online auction market, offering buyers a significantly broader selection of goods to purchase. Today, online auction sites offer significant convenience, allowing trading at all hours and providing continually updated product offerings. By leveraging the interactive nature of the Internet, our website also enables customers to experience the excitement of live auctions, including the competitive bidding process.

The Jewelry Market

Jewelry is designed and manufactured by a large number of manufacturers, generally with small operations. Jewelry manufacturers sell to a variety of wholesalers, distributors, and retailers. Jewelry in turn is sold to consumers through a variety of jewelry stores. The U.S. jewelry retail market consists primarily of small, independent stores, regional operators, and a limited number of national retail chains, such as Tiffany & Co., Zales, and Kay Jewelers. Multi-line department and discount stores and others also sell jewelry, such as Wal-Mart, Target, J. C. Penney, and Costco, as well as enterprises such as QVC and Home Shopping Network. Despite the presence of major jewelry retail chains, a majority of the jewelry retail business remains fragmented.

Manufacturers traditionally hold inventory to buffer against uncertain demand within their normal, offline sales channels. Manufacturers' primary distribution channels consist of regular sales to major established retailers at wholesale prices and sales to wholesalers that service smaller retail chains. In recent years, several dynamics have shifted inventory risk from retailers to manufacturers, including the following:

- dominant retailers insisting on just-in-time deliveries from manufacturers,
- dominant retailers often demanding to cancel orders mid-production and returning unsold merchandise,
- rapid changes in style, color, or models, turning inventory into closeout merchandise,
- incorrect estimates of consumer demand leading to overproduction, and
- changes in a retailer's financial situation or strategy resulting in cancelled orders.

Disposal of closeout jewelry represents a significant challenge for many manufacturers and liquidators. Manufacturers often use multiple channels, such as catalogs, resellers, liquidators, and small retailers, to sell their closeout jewelry inventory as a result of the wide variety in lot size of their products. Multiple channels create additional logistical burdens and reduce the control of manufacturers over distribution.

Manufacturers are often required to adhere to delivery and quantity specifications. If a manufacturer does not meet required delivery schedules or specifications, or products are unsold, the products may be returned to the manufacturer. In both cases, manufacturers rarely meet their costs on their closeout products. Manufacturers often opt to melt down the jewelry into its component parts and reconstruct jewelry pieces with current season styles. The melt-down process is difficult, requires skilled labor, and results in a very low return on manufacturing costs.

Our Value Proposition

Value to Buyers

Through online auctions on our website, we believe that we offer value to a broad range of customers by providing the following:

Bargain prices. The price of all our products is dictated by the buyer alone based on that buyer's valuation of the product. Therefore, many items offered on our website are sold at a substantial discount to the prices in traditional retail channels, such as at jewelry stores located in shopping malls. Our \$1 minimum bid policy allows the savvy or lucky consumer the potential to purchase any product being auctioned for only \$1, offering even greater value to the consumer. Regardless of the closing price, once an auction is closed, the final bidder will receive the product.

Entertaining auction format. We offer our customers the excitement of winning an item that may not be available in the future. We offer short-term, live auctions, many lasting less than one hour, providing our customers an alternative to the traditional, longer auction format. We believe the shorter time frame of our auctions encourages repeat visits and active viewing of our website.

Broad product selection. We offer a broad selection of jewelry, including rings, necklaces, earrings, bracelets, jewelry sets, and watches. Our selection includes gold and silver jewelry, as well as jewelry with precious and semi-precious stones.

Product quality assurance. We provide detailed and accurate descriptions of each product. Our trained product specialists inspect our jewelry, utilizing the Gemological Institute of America Diamond and Colored Stone Grading System prior to posting on our website for auction. We guarantee the quality of our products through our 15-day return policy on all of our merchandise with a money-back guarantee if the product is not as described on our website. Items that are returned that are identical to their description are subject to a restocking fee, which is 15% of the original purchase price and shipping fees are non-refundable. Our commitment to quality and product description accuracy is demonstrated by consistently low return rates on our items, which were approximately 3% of all items sold in 2007.

Prompt fulfillment and responsive customer service. We maintain in inventory all the products we offer on our website, enabling us to ensure prompt order fulfillment and delivery to our customers. Our customer service representatives are available seven days a week, via live-help and e-mail, and are trained to provide assistance and answer a broad range of questions regarding payment, delivery, and other matters.

Positive shopping experience. Our easy-to-navigate website provides our customers with clear and detailed information about our products, including multiple photographs of many items. Customers can bid on our products 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Buyers are provided a five-day payment grace period on all products purchased.

Value to Suppliers

Jewelry manufacturers continually look for a cost-effective, efficient way to sell their regular and closeout inventory. Our ability to purchase jewelry in various quantities provides a critical one-stop inventory clearance alternative for manufacturers and an efficient sales channel for liquidators that purchase closeout inventory from manufacturers.

Single source for product disposition. Unlike many multi-store retail chains that often require large lot purchases to stock appropriate levels of inventory at their multiple locations, we have the flexibility to buy and sell both small and large jewelry lots in a highly efficient manner. As a single source for the sale of regular and closeout jewelry products, we provide suppliers with greater control of distribution by reducing the need to spread products across multiple channels. In addition, manufacturers and liquidators are often required to adhere to stringent product quantity and delivery schedule requirements. Products not delivered on time or in exact amounts are returned. We have the flexibility to tailor our purchasing to a supplier's needs with regard to quantity, variety, and timing.

Resolution of channel conflict. Manufacturers seek to avoid liquidating their products through traditional retail channels in which their discounted products may be sold alongside their full-price products. Such side-by-side selling can result in weaker pricing and decreased brand strength, which is known as channel conflict or sales channel pollution. As a result, many manufacturers turn to liquidation wholesalers and discount retailers. These liquidation channels, however, provide manufacturers limited control over distribution and are unreliable and expensive to manage. Because we offer an alternate online distribution channel that focuses on the auction sale of jewelry, our suppliers are able to sell their discounted jewelry independently of their full-priced products. We believe this enables our suppliers to avoid customer confusion and potential loss of sales of their full-priced jewelry products.

Profitable alternative for suppliers. We eliminate the various inefficiencies and mark-ups associated with traditional intermediaries, and our high volume of sales from a single location provides us with favorable inventory turnover and lower inventory carrying and handling costs versus traditional jewelry retailers. Manufacturers can also sell excess products to us rather than expend the labor and cost of melting and reworking their gold products.

Growth Strategy

Our objective is to become the leading online jewelry retailer. We intend to achieve this objective through the following strategies:

Expanding our customer base by maintaining and expanding our relationships with third-party search engines, Internet portals, and websites and by increasing our online advertising expenditures within these channels;

Enhancing sourcing relationships by expanding the number of suppliers from which we opportunistically purchase regular and closeout inventory, thereby assuring consistent availability of a wide variety of designs and styles of jewelry, and purchasing for cash from suppliers that do not extend credit terms and to obtain better supplier pricing;

Increasing the breadth and depth of our product offerings by increasing available inventory within specific jewelry categories, allowing us to make more jewelry categories available and enabling us to list more auction items on a daily basis;

Opening an online jewelry retail store in 2008 by allowing fixed price items to be sold that will complement our core auction format; and

Focusing on new international markets by expanding into and creating a strong presence in a number of English-speaking countries, including the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, Ireland, and New Zealand, and introducing foreign language versions of our website in 2008 for certain international markets.

Marketing

Our marketing strategy is designed to generate consumer traffic by increasing awareness of our website and recognition of our brand, building a loyal buyer base, and maximizing repeat purchases. Our website has experienced substantial growth in users and buyers, as evidenced through data compiled by us through the measurement tools on our website.

Our marketing and advertising efforts consist primarily of the following initiatives:

Search marketing: We purchase search engine advertising primarily at an auction based price, which is paid each time a potential customer clicks on one of our advertisements. We currently maintain advertising relationships with, among others, Google (US, Australia, Canada, European Union, South East Asia, Mid East and South America), Yahoo (US, and Australia), MSN for search engine advertising.

Online banner marketing: We utilize banner advertisements from the largest banner advertising channels. We focus on contextual and behavioral advertisements that appear on websites related to jewelry, fashion, shopping and women's lifestyle. The Company maintains portal advertising relationships with Yahoo, MSN, Casale Media, Advertising.com, ValueClick Media, AOL and others. The fee structure for our purchase of banner advertisements is based on a set price for an action (such as clicks or new buyers), or on a cost per thousand basis, for which we pay a set fee per thousand banner advertisements that appear on the selected websites.

E-mail campaigns: We utilize an electronic direct marketing program to encourage prospect activation, customer referrals, customer retention, repeat purchases and customer acquisition. This program includes permission-based e-mail marketing to visitors who indicate a desire to continue to receive product recommendations and promotional discounts. We purchase e-mail direct marketing for a set fee per thousand e-mails sent or for each new lead from websites and marketing agencies that meet our policies against spamming. We also purchase on a cost per action basis such as clicks, new registration users and new buyers.

Affiliate programs: We attract customers by participating in affiliate programs. Our affiliate programs offer other website operators an opportunity to earn commissions by providing their visitors access to our website. We use Link Share for our U.S. and U.K. programs and Commission Junction for our European affiliate programs. We also work with several other Affiliate Networks including Azoogle, Hydra Media and Neverblue Media. In so doing, we intend to extend the reach of our website and draw customers from a variety of other websites. By participating in our affiliate programs, website publishers earn volume-based commissions by directing customers to our website.

Sourcing Strategy

We purchase closeout inventory directly from manufacturers and liquidators. We also closely monitor our sales trends to assess consumer demand for certain jewelry styles and categories and purchase regular jewelry inventory directly from manufacturers. We have eliminated various inefficiencies and mark-ups associated with traditional intermediaries in the jewelry market. Our sourcing model provides a critical alternative liquidation channel for manufacturers, for which disposal of closeout inventory represents a significant challenge. We have the flexibility to purchase a variety of lot sizes, and our centralized warehouse and fulfillment center enables our suppliers to ship their products to a single location, easing the burden on their infrastructure. We have developed a database of product descriptions and pictures that enables us rapidly to post inventory for sale. In addition, we closely monitor sales and gross margin trends of our products on a daily basis, allowing us to select carefully additional products for purchase and adjust supplier pricing as necessary.

We identify manufacturers and liquidators with high-value products by capitalizing on our extensive experience in the jewelry industry. Our jewelry sourcing model capitalizes on our close industry relationships to avoid several layers of supply chain intermediaries and the mark-ups associated with additional intermediaries. We closely monitor the historical sales trends of our products on a daily basis.

We do not have any formal or binding supply agreements with any of our suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, or other suppliers. We purchase and carry inventory in all of the products we offer for sale to ensure availability and expedited delivery. Currently, we acquire inventories from our suppliers on a cash and revolving credit basis with payment typically due within 60 to 90 days. In an effort to achieve cost efficiencies and a more diverse supplier base, we have started to acquire substantially more products on a cash basis. This strategy will allow for more flexibility to increase our product selection, reduce our product costs, and enlarge our supply relationships by allowing us to purchase from vendors that do not extend credit to their customers.

We also purchase, from time to time, loose diamonds and semi-previous stones and deliver them to manufacturers to produce into finished jewelry inventory. This source of inventory allows us to reduce our product costs.

Merchandising Strategy

We seek to maintain profitability while providing variety and value to our customers by carefully selecting the types of products we post on our website at any given time, the duration of the auction for each item, and the frequency with which we re-post comparable items after sales. We actively monitor our auctions and sales so that we are able to adjust continually the makeup and quantity of goods on our website at any given time. Since bids on all company-owned merchandise start at \$1, losses are incurred on approximately 15% to 25% of the items sold on an annual basis. The risks associated with a \$1 minimum bid auction are mitigated by profits generated from items sold at a gain, which resulted in an overall 29.1% gross profit margin in 2007.

We post more expensive items with longer auction durations, typically running for two to three days, allowing customers to peruse the piece and make thoughtful decisions. Less expensive items are selected for shorter auctions, typically running for an hour or less, creating more excitement for the product and providing the customer with more immediate gratification. Items purchased in bulk are slotted for posting sequentially so that all items simultaneously posted on our website are unique.

Technology Operations

Both our website user interface and back-office transaction processing systems are internally developed. This proprietary system maintains records for our registered users, sales invoicing and cash receipts, auction transactions, inventory control, and historical transaction data. Our system handles all aspects of the auction process, including notifying users via e-mail of auction status.

Our system architecture is built on industry-standard platforms that are designed to provide continuous service 24 hours a day, 365 days per year. Our website servers are located at a third-party co-location facility operated by Savvis Inc. in El Segundo, CA. Savvis provides redundant internet connectivity, physical security, climate control, redundant power delivered through a 100% uptime guarantee. The back-office processing system is housed in our headquarters in Culver City and communicates with Savvis over four independent T1 lines. These systems include both Oracle and Microsoft SQL database engines operating in a multi-processing Microsoft Windows environment designed to accommodate large volumes of online traffic and are configured for high fault tolerance with redundancy and load balancing. Our Internet servers utilize VeriSign Inc. digital certificates to provide secure website communications for sales and payment transactions.

In the fourth quarter we implemented a major system upgrade that has moved our primary auction system to Savvis Inc. This upgrade included a complete re-write of our core auction platform. The auction platform is now running as a J2EE (Java 2 Enterprise Edition) application utilizing Oracle 11g for robust transaction management. Included in the upgrade, we have also deployed the following solutions at the Savvis data center:

- an Enterprise SAN (Storage Area Network) Solution from Hitachi
- an Enterprise Network solution from Cisco Systems including Firewall, Load Balancer, Secure VPN, Gigabit switching and IDS (Intrusion Detection System)
- Standardized x64 Sun Fire Servers

We are currently planning to develop a second co-location in a different region to provide greater load balancing and disaster recovery. We utilize the firewall protection and the services of TrustWave, which provides regular scanning of our systems for security vulnerabilities and PCI compliance.

At December 31, 2007, we had a technology and development staff of 23. We have structured the technology and development into two distinct departments: software development and production support. We anticipate that we will continue to devote significant resources to product development and product management in the future as we add new features and functionality.

Customer Service and Fulfillment Operations

An important element of our sales strategy is to provide a high level of customer service support in order to establish long-term relationships with our customers and encourage repeat visits and purchases. Our customer service support staff provides detailed payment, billing, and delivery guidance and is trained to answer a broad array of questions regarding auctions and payments conducted on our website. Our informed and helpful staff, together with the informative and educational aspects of our website, promote customer confidence in purchase decisions.

At December 31, 2007, we had a customer service and billing staff of 31. We utilize automated online live-help, e-mail and phone systems to route traffic to our customer service and billing support representatives to provide personalized assistance. The customer service center operates between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Pacific time, seven days a week for telephone support and 24 hours a day for online live-help and e-mail support. We outsource substantially all of our online live-help and e-mail support.

Customers may utilize the following payment methods: credit card, money orders, and checks. We also offer our customers the opportunity to make payments using PayPal and Bill-Me-Later services. We require payment to be received by us prior to shipping the merchandise.

We maintain a fraud prevention department to detect suspect payments. Our internal system flags suspect payments when the billing address or other pertinent information does not match cardholder information. Our fraud prevention department then requests that the customer provide additional information to verify identity before shipment is released.

We provide our customers with a five-day grace period to pay for products won through auctions. If payment is not received by the fifth day, the purchase order lapses and the product is cycled back into inventory. If a customer purchases additional items during the five-day period, we offer the customer the opportunity to save on shipping by aggregating all purchased items into one shipment at a cost of only \$3 per additional item for up to 30 items. If payment is not made within the five-day period, the product is offered for auction again and thus the same item may be auctioned more than once.

Our goal is to fulfill purchase orders on a timely, secure, and accurate basis. We inspect and track each product at all stages of the receiving and order fulfillment process. Following payment, the merchandise is processed for shipment. Customer orders are typically delivered within seven business days, depending on the shipping method. Most purchases are delivered with signature or delivery confirmation. We ship all products via nationally recognized carriers, such as Federal Express and the U. S. Postal Service. All of our shipments are fully insured.

We have a 15-day return policy on all of our merchandise with a money-back guarantee if the product is not as described on our website. Items that are returned that are as described are subject to a 15% restocking fee. Our facility has security controls and restricted access and has been designed for the prompt receipt, storage, and shipment of our products. In addition, we have security guards on duty 24 hours a day, seven days a week to patrol the premises. Security is complemented by video and alarm surveillance.

Seasonality

Historically, sales in the jewelry industry are seasonal and have been higher in the fourth quarter as a result of higher consumer spending during the December holiday shopping season. Approximately 33.9%, 28.5% and 33.8% of our net revenue in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively, was generated during the fourth quarter. As we continue to grow, we expect to experience more pronounced seasonal fluctuations in our net revenue and anticipate a disproportionate amount of our revenue will be in the fourth quarter.

Competition

The online jewelry auction market is new, rapidly evolving, and intensely competitive with relatively low barriers to entry, as new competitors can launch websites at relatively low cost. We believe that competition in the online jewelry market is based predominantly on the following:

- price,
- product quality and selection,

- vendor reliability,
- shopping convenience,
- customer service, and
- brand recognition.

We currently compete with a variety of online auction jewelry sellers, such as eBay and uBid; online liquidation companies, such as Overstock; and online jewelry retailers, such as Blue Nile. We also compete with traditional offline jewelry retail chains, such as Zales, Finlay Fine Jewelry, and Reed's Jewelers, as well as with department and discount and other stores that sell jewelry at wholesale prices, such as Wal-Mart, Target, J. C. Penney, and Costco, as well as with QVC and Home Shopping Network. We compete primarily on the basis of our prices, the selection of merchandise, and our website functionality and ease-of-use. The negative factors relating to our competitive position relate primarily to the relative size and name recognition of our competitors.

As the online regular jewelry and liquidation market grows, we believe that companies involved in online retail, as well as traditional retailers and liquidation brokers, will increase their efforts to develop services that compete with our online services. We also face potential competition from online companies not yet focused on the liquidation market and from retail companies not yet operating online.

Many of our competitors have greater brand recognition, longer operating histories, larger customer bases, and significantly greater financial, marketing, and other resources than we do. Smaller competitors may enter into strategic or commercial relationships with larger, more established and well-financed companies. Some of our competitors could enter into exclusive distribution arrangements with our suppliers and deny us access to their products, devote greater resources to marketing and promotional campaigns, and devote substantially more resources to their website and systems development than we do. New technologies and the continued enhancement of existing technologies also may increase competitive pressures on us.

Intellectual Property

We regard our domain names and similar intellectual property as critical to our success. However, we do not hold any patents or registrations on such intellectual property. We rely on a combination of laws and contractual restrictions with our employees, customers, suppliers, affiliates, and others to establish and protect our proprietary rights. Despite these precautions, it may be possible for a third party to copy or otherwise obtain and use our intellectual property without authorization. In addition, others may independently develop substantially similar intellectual property. Although we are pursuing the registration of our key trademarks and patents in the United States, some of our trade names are not eligible to receive trademark protection. In addition, effective trademark and patent protection may not be available or may not be sought by us in every country in which our products are made available online.

From time to time, we may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of our business, including claims of alleged infringement of the trademarks and other intellectual property rights of third parties. In addition, litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, or to determine the validity and scope of our intellectual proprietary rights and those of others. Any such litigation, regardless of outcome or merit, could result in substantial costs and diversion of management and technical resources, any of which could materially harm our business.

Government Regulation

We are subject to federal and state consumer protection laws, including laws protecting the privacy of consumer non-public information and regulations prohibiting unfair and deceptive trade practices. In particular, under federal and state financial privacy laws and regulations, we must provide notice to consumers of our policies on sharing non-public information with third parties, must provide advance notice of any changes to our policies and, with limited exceptions, must give consumers the right to prevent sharing of their non-public personal information with unaffiliated third parties. Furthermore, the growth and demand for online commerce could result in more stringent consumer protection laws that impose additional compliance burdens on online retailers. These consumer protection laws could result in substantial compliance costs and could interfere with the conduct of our business.

Moreover, there is currently great uncertainty in many states whether or how existing laws governing issues such as property ownership, sales and other taxes, and libel and personal privacy apply to the Internet and commercial online retailers. These issues may take years to resolve. For example, tax authorities in a number of states, as well as a Congressional advisory commission, are currently reviewing the appropriate tax treatment of companies engaged in online commerce, and new state tax regulations may

subject us to additional state sales and income taxes. New legislation of regulation, the application of laws and regulations from jurisdictions whose laws do not currently apply to our business, or the application of existing laws and regulations to the Internet and commercial online services could result in significant additional taxes on our business. These taxes could have an adverse effect on our results of operations. Furthermore, there is a possibility that we may be subject to significant fines or other payments for any past failures to comply with these requirements.

Numerous states and foreign jurisdictions, including California, where our headquarters is located, have regulations regarding how auctions may be conducted and the liability of auctioneers in conducting such auctions. No final legal determination has been made whether the California regulations apply to businesses such as the business we conduct, and little precedent exists in this area. Several states and some foreign jurisdictions have attempted, and may attempt in the future, to impose such regulations upon companies similar to us and their customers, which could harm our business. Regulatory and licensure claims could result in costly litigation or could require us to change our manner of doing business in ways that increase our costs or reduce our revenue or force us to prohibit listings of certain items for some locations. We could also be subject to fines or other penalties. Any of these outcomes could harm our business.

California applies the burden of security risks to those owning and operating computer systems and networks, under a mandatory disclosure law (SB 1386). Companies holding computerized personal information of California residents are required to take steps either to encrypt this personal information or adopt, as part of an information security policy, notice and disclosure procedures for any computer security breaches, whether or not the breach occurs in California. If we were found to be noncompliant we would be subject to civil suits, including class actions, for damages and injunctive remedies in California courts.

Employees

At December 31, 2007, we had 235 full-time and 5 part-time employees. We may utilize part-time employees, temporary employees, and independent contractors to respond to fluctuations in our business. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement with us, and we consider our relations with our employees to be good.

We have an agreement with Administaff, a professional employer organization, to manage all payroll processing, workers' compensation, health insurance, and other employment-related benefits for our employees. Although Administaff processes our payroll and pays our workers' compensation, health insurance and other employment-related benefits, we are responsible for such payments and for complying with state and federal employment regulations, as well as all personnel management policies and procedures that are maintained by Administaff. We pay Administaff a fee based on the aggregate salaries paid to our employees for these services.

Delaware Reincorporation

On June 22, 2006 the Company reincorporated in the state of Delaware. In connection with our reincorporation in Delaware, we are authorized to issue 100,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value, and 4,000,000 shares of undesignated preferred stock, \$0.001 par value.

The following discussion describes the Delaware Certificate of Incorporation and the Bylaws that were adopted and is intended to be a summary and does not describe all provisions of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws or Delaware law that may be applicable to us. For a more thorough understanding of the terms of our capital stock, you should refer to our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, which have been filed as exhibits to this report.

Common Stock

The holders of common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by stockholders. There will be no cumulative voting. Subject to preferences that may be applicable to any outstanding preferred stock, holders of common stock are entitled to receive ratably such dividends as may be declared by our Board of Directors out of funds legally available for that purpose. In the event of the liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of our company, the holders of common stock are entitled to share ratably in all assets remaining after payment of liabilities and the liquidation preferences of any outstanding preferred stock. The common stock has no preemptive or conversion rights, other subscription rights, or redemption or sinking fund provisions.

Preferred Stock

Our certificate of incorporation authorizes our Board of Directors, without any vote or action by the holders of our common stock, to issue preferred stock from time to time in one or more series. Our Board of Directors is authorized to determine the number of shares and to fix the designations, powers, preferences, and the relative, participating, optional, or other rights of any series of preferred

stock. Issuances of preferred stock would be subject to the applicable rules of Nasdaq or other organizations on which our securities are then quoted or listed. Depending upon the terms of preferred stock established by our Board of Directors, any or all series of preferred stock could have preference over the common stock with respect to dividends and other distributions and upon our liquidation. If any shares of preferred stock are issued with voting powers, the voting power of the outstanding common stock would be diluted. No shares of preferred stock are presently outstanding, and we have no present intention to issue any shares of preferred stock.

Anti-Takeover Effects of Provisions of the Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws

General

Our Delaware certificate of incorporation, our bylaws, and the DGCL contain certain provisions that could delay or make more difficult an acquisition of control of our company not approved by our Board of Directors, whether by means of a tender offer, open market purchases, a proxy contest, or otherwise. These provisions have been implemented to enable us, particularly but not exclusively in the initial years of our existence as a publicly owned company, to develop our business in a manner that will foster our long-term growth without disruption caused by the threat of a takeover not deemed by our Board of Directors to be in the best interests of our company and our stockholders. These provisions could have the effect of discouraging third parties from making proposals involving an acquisition or change of control of our company even if such a proposal, if made, might be considered desirable by a majority of our stockholders. These provisions may also have the effect of making it more difficult for third parties to cause the replacement of our Board of Directors or our current management without the concurrence of our Board of Directors.

There is set forth below a description of the provisions contained in our Delaware certificate of incorporation and bylaws and the DGCL that could impede or delay an acquisition of control of our company that our Board of Directors has not approved. This description is intended as a summary only and is qualified in its entirety by reference to our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, which are included as exhibits to this report, as well as the DGCL.

Authorized but Unissued Preferred Stock

Our certificate of incorporation authorizes our Board of Directors to issue one or more series of preferred stock and to determine, with respect to any series of preferred stock, the terms and rights of such series without any further vote or action by our stockholders. The existence of authorized but unissued shares of preferred stock may enable our Board of Directors to render more difficult or discourage an attempt to obtain control of our company by means of a proxy contest, tender offer, or other extraordinary transaction. Any issuance of preferred stock with voting and conversion rights may adversely affect the voting power of the holders of common stock, including the loss of voting control to others. The existence of authorized but unissued shares of preferred stock will also enable our Board of Directors, without stockholder approval, to adopt a "poison pill" takeover defense mechanism. We have no present plans to issue any shares of preferred stock.

Number of Directors; Removal; Filling Vacancies

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws provides that the number of directors shall be fixed only by resolution of our Board of Directors from time to time. Our certificate of incorporation provides that directors may be removed by stockholders for cause by the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares entitled to vote. Delaware law provides that vacancies on the Board of Directors may be filled only by a majority vote of the remaining directors or by the sole remaining director.

Classified Board

Our certificate of incorporation provides for our board to be divided into three classes, as nearly equal in number as possible, serving staggered terms. Approximately one-third of our board will be elected each year. See "Management—Board of Directors." The provision for a classified board could prevent a party who acquires control of a majority of our outstanding common stock from obtaining control of the board until our second annual stockholders meeting following the date the acquirer obtains the controlling share interest. The classified board provision could have the effect of discouraging a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us and could increase the likelihood that incumbent directors will retain their positions.

Stockholder Action

Our certificate of incorporation provides that stockholder action may be taken only at an annual or special meeting of stockholders. This provision prohibits stockholder action by written consent in lieu of a meeting. Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws further provide that special meetings of stockholders may be called only by our Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer, President, or Secretary, and shall be called by any such person at the request in writing of a majority of the Board of Directors. Stockholders are not permitted to call a special meeting or to require our Board of Directors to call a special meeting of stockholders.

The provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws prohibiting stockholder action by written consent may have the effect of delaying consideration of a stockholder proposal until the next annual meeting unless a special meeting is called as provided above. These provisions would also prevent the holders of a majority of the voting power of our stock from unilaterally using the written consent procedure to take stockholder action. Moreover, a stockholder could not force stockholder consideration of a proposal over the opposition of the Board of Directors by calling a special meeting of stockholders prior to the time our chairman or a majority of the whole board believes such consideration to be appropriate.

Advance Notice for Stockholder Proposals and Director Nominations

Our bylaws establish an advance notice procedure for stockholder proposals to be brought before any annual or special meeting of stockholders and for nominations by stockholders of candidates for election as directors at an annual meeting or a special meeting at which directors are to be elected. Subject to any other applicable requirements, including, without limitation, Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act, only such business may be conducted at a meeting of stockholders as has been brought before the meeting by, or at the direction of, our Board of Directors, or by a stockholder who has given our Secretary timely written notice, in proper form, of the stockholder's intention to bring that business before the meeting. The presiding officer at such meeting has the authority to make such determinations. Only persons who are nominated by, or at the direction of, our Board of Directors, or who are nominated by a stockholder that has given timely written notice, in proper form, to our Secretary prior to a meeting at which directors are to be elected, are eligible for election as directors.

Amendments to Bylaws

Our certificate of incorporation provides that only our Board of Directors or the holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote at an annual or special meeting of stockholders have the power to amend or repeal our bylaws.

Amendments to Certificate of Incorporation

Any proposal to amend, alter, change, or repeal any provision of our certificate of incorporation requires approval by the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power of all of the shares of our capital stock entitled to vote on such matters.

Delaware Statutory Provisions

Anti-Takeover Provision of Delaware Law. Under Section 203 of the DGCL, no Delaware corporation shall engage in a "business combination" with an "interested stockholder" for a period of three years following the date that the stockholder became an interested stockholder. "Business combination" includes a merger, consolidation, asset sale, or other transaction resulting in financial benefit to the interested stockholder. "Interested stockholder" is a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns, or within three years, did own 15% or more of the corporation's voting stock. This prohibition does not apply if:

- prior to the time that the stockholder became an interested stockholder, the board of directors of the corporation approved either the business combination or the transaction resulting in the stockholder's becoming an interested stockholder,
- upon consummation of the transaction resulting in the stockholder's becoming an interested stockholder, the stockholder owns at least 85% of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation, excluding voting stock owned by directors who are also officers and certain employee stock plans, or
- at or subsequent to the time that the stockholder became an interested stockholder, the business combination is
 approved by the board and authorized at an annual or special meeting of stockholders, and not by written consent, by
 the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the outstanding voting stock that the interested stockholder does not
 own.

A Delaware corporation may elect not to be governed by these restrictions. We have not made such election. Although David Zinberg and Marina Zinberg each own more than 15% of our stock, they are not subject to the restrictions of Section 203.

Limitation of Liability and Indemnification of Officers and Directors

Our certificate of incorporation limits the liability of our directors to the fullest extent permitted by the DGCL. In addition, our certificate of incorporation and bylaws provide that we will indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by law.

Indemnification for Securities Act Liabilities

hitic -

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted for directors, officers, or controlling persons pursuant to the provisions described in the preceding paragraph, we have been informed that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is therefore unenforceable.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for our common stock is American Stock Transfer & Trust Company. The transfer agent's address is 6201 15th Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11219 and its telephone number is (718) 921-8124.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

We believe the risks and uncertainties described below are the most significant we face. The occurrence of any of the following risks could harm our business. In that case, the value of our common stock could decline, and you may lose part or all of your investment. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our operations.

We have established a public market for our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market; our stock is subject to short selling and trading in our common stock may be volatile.

Since November 2007, we have been included by Nasdaq on the Regulation SHO Threshold Security List, which may be indicative of a significant amount of naked shorting in our common stock. As defined in Rule 203(c)(6) of Regulation SHO, a "threshold security" is any equity security of any issuer that is registered under Section 12 of the Exchange Act, or that is required to file reports under Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act (commonly referred to as reporting securities), where, for five consecutive settlement days: (i) there are aggregate fails to deliver at a registered clearing agency of 10,000 shares or more per security; the level of fails is equal to at least one-half of one percent of the issuer's total shares outstanding; and the security is included on a list published by a self-regulatory organization (SRO). A security ceases to be a threshold security if it does not exceed the specified level of fails for five consecutive settlement days. Short selling and possible market price manipulation of our common stock has a negative effect on the trading price of our common stock and may cause trading in our common stock to be volatile.

The trading price in our common stock may be volatile and you may lose all or part of your investment.

The market price of our common stock has been subject to significant fluctuations and these fluctuations could continue. Some factors that could affect the market price of our common stock are as follows:

- actual or anticipated changes in our operating results,
- · changes in research analysts recommendations or estimates of financial performance,
- changes in market valuation of similar companies, economic and general market conditions,
- announcements of significant contracts, company developments, commercial relationships, banking credit facilities, capital commitments, acquisitions or joint ventures,
- our issuance of additional shares of common stock or other securities, and
- intellectual property or litigation developments.

Trading in our common stock may experience significant price and volume fluctuations and adversely affect the trading price of our common stock. Following periods of volatility in the market price of a public company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against that company. Such litigation could result in substantial cost and a diversion of management's attention and resources.

We must continue to generate a high volume of visitor traffic to our website and convert those visitors into buyers.

Our net revenue depends to a significant extent on the number of customers who visit our website to purchase merchandise and the dollar amount of their purchases. Generating increased traffic to our website and converting that traffic into buyers requires us to achieve effective results from our marketing campaigns; offer a wide variety of products that our customers can purchase at favorable prices; maintain a user-friendly shopping experience; ensure the satisfactory availability, performance, and reliability of our website, network infrastructure, and transaction processing systems; and provide high-quality customer service. Our business will be harmed if we are unable to increase our customer base and the dollar volume of the orders customers place with us.

We are subject to "prank" bidding by persons who are not required to provide their identification.

Presently, we do not require new users to our site to provide their identification upon registration. Consequently, from time to time we are subject to "prank" bidders who may win auctions but do not intend to purchase our merchandise. Although the Company reauctions merchandise not purchased by such bidders, a large number of failed auctions in which winning bidders do not complete their purchases could adversely affect our results of operations.

We may face increasing costs to acquire new customers.

The acquisition of new customers is a key factor in increasing demand for our jewelry products and increasing our revenue. We currently attract new customers by driving traffic to our website using a marketing and advertising strategy that includes targeted keyword searches, online banner advertising, targeted e-mail advertising, and participation in affiliate programs. We do not maintain long-term contracts or arrangements with any companies, including any search engines, Internet portals, or other websites, and we may not successfully enter into additional relationships or maintain existing ones. In addition, traffic to our website could decline if our online marketing programs become less effective or the traffic decreases to the search engines, Internet portals, and websites with which we advertise. Our business could be materially and adversely affected if any substantial number of companies on which we advertise experience financial or operational difficulties or experience other corporate developments that adversely affect their performance. A failure to maintain or expand existing online advertising relationships or to establish additional online advertising relationships that generate a significant amount of traffic from other websites could result in decreased sales or limit the growth of our business.

Our growth will depend on our ability to increase the popularity of our website, and we may not be able to do so effectively.

We believe that continuing to increase the popularity of our website will be critical to expanding our business. Promoting and positioning our website will depend largely on the success of our marketing efforts and our ability to provide a variety and sufficient quantity of high-quality products at attractive prices in a convenient manner. Promoting our website will require us to increase our marketing budget and otherwise increase our financial commitment to creating and maintaining brand loyalty among users. Brand promotion activities may not yield increased revenue, and even if they do, any increased revenue may not offset the expenses we incur in building our website popularity and our brand. If we do attract new users to our website, they may not conduct transactions on a frequent basis or in sufficient dollar amounts. If we fail to promote and maintain our website or incur substantial expenses in an unsuccessful attempt to promote and maintain our brand, our business would be harmed.

We anticipate expanding our international sales activities, causing our business to become increasingly susceptible to numerous risks that could affect our profitability.

In 2006 and 2007, 20.8% and 22.3%, respectively, of our net revenue was generated by shipments to customers outside of the United States. We plan, over time, to expand our reach into international markets. We do not, however, currently have any overseas fulfillment, distribution, or server facilities or any website content localized for foreign markets. We cannot be certain that we will be able to expand our global presence. In addition, there are certain risks associated with doing business on an international basis, including regulatory requirements, legal uncertainty regarding liability, tariffs, and other trade barriers, longer payment cycles, and potentially adverse tax consequences, any of which could adversely affect our business. Although our foreign sales historically have been denominated in U.S. dollars, we also may be subject to increased risks relating to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations to the extent we decide to denominate our sales in foreign currencies.

Customer complaints or negative publicity about our customer service could adversely affect our reputation and, as a result, our business could suffer.

Customer complaints or negative publicity about our customer service could severely diminish consumer confidence in and the use of our website. Effective customer service requires significant personnel expense, and this expense, if not managed properly, could significantly impact our profitability. The failure to manage or train our customer service representatives properly could compromise our ability to handle customer inquiries and complaints effectively. If we do not handle customer inquiries and complaints effectively, we may lose customer confidence. As a result, our revenue could suffer and our operating margin may decrease.

Our limited operating history makes it difficult for us to forecast accurately net revenue and appropriately plan our expenses.

We have a limited operating history. As a result, it is difficult to forecast accurately our sales or operating expenses. We base our estimated expense levels on our operating forecasts and estimates of future sales. Sales and results of operations are difficult to forecast because they generally depend on the number, dollar volume, and timing of the orders we receive, which are uncertain. Some of our expenses are fixed, making it difficult to adjust our spending in a timely manner to take into account any unexpected shortfall in sales. We may also be unable to adjust spending in a timely manner to compensate for any unexpected sales shortfall, which could cause our net income in a given quarter to be lower than expected.

Quarterly financial results may not be consistent and impact our stock price.

There are numerous influences on our quarterly financial results that extend beyond the normal seasonal trends. Fluctuations that are under our control include: our ability to influence the timing and quantity of items we place for auction; our decision to acquire merchandise at favorable prices; our expenditures on marketing and our ability to attract customer visits to our website. Fluctuations that are not under our control that may directly impact our quarterly financial results include: changes in market price for precious metals and gems; changes in consumers' discretionary income and demand for our products; and changes in fashion trends.

We may be at risk to accurately report financial results or detect fraud if we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls.

As directed by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted rules requiring public companies to include a report that contains an assessment by management on the company's internal control over financial reporting in their annual reports on Form 10-K. In addition, the independent registered public accounting firm auditing the company's financial statements must attest to and report on our assessment of the effectiveness of the internal controls over financial reporting. While we are consistently working on improvements and conducting rigorous reviews of our internal controls over financial reporting, our independent auditors may interpret Section 404 requirements and apply related rules and regulations differently. If our independent auditors are not satisfied with our internal control over financial reporting or with the level at which it is documented, operated or reviewed, they may decline to attest to management's assessment or issue a qualified report. Additionally, if we are not able to meet all the requirements of Section 404 in a timely manner or with adequate compliance, we might be subject to sanctions or investigation by regulatory authorities, such as the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Future changes in our accounting, financial reporting, and regulatory environment may create new areas of risk exposure. Failure to modify our existing control environment accordingly may impair our controls over financial reporting and cause our investors to lose confidence in the reliability of our financial reporting, which may adversely affect our stock price.

We do not have a guaranteed supply of jewelry products, and we have a heavy concentration of inventory purchases from our top two suppliers.

The success of our business depends, in part, on our ability to offer our customers a wide variety of jewelry that they can purchase at prices that are substantially below those of traditional jewelry retailers. We do not have any formal or binding supply agreements with any of our manufacturers, distributors, or other suppliers for our supply of jewelry products. As a result, we do not have a guaranteed supply of jewelry products at favorable prices. Our inability to maintain and expand our jewelry supply relationships or the inability of our suppliers to continue to supply us with jewelry products at favorable prices would substantially harm our business and results of operations.

In addition, we have a concentration of inventory purchases from a small number of suppliers. Our top two suppliers accounted for approximately 42.1%, 31.1% and 24.0 % of our total purchases in 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively. In 2007, we purchased 12.1% of our merchandise from R-7 and 11.9% from LA Jewelers. Inc.

We have given substantial deposits of inventory to our manufacturing vendors to produce finished jewelry products.

We may be at risk of loss for the diamonds, precious and semi-precious gems that we have given to our manufacturing vendors to produce into finished jewelry products. If the businesses of the vendors fail, the diamond inventory is lost or stolen, the vendors do not have adequate insurance coverage or if the vendors fail to produce and deliver finished jewelry products, we would incur substantial losses that would have a material impact on our net income and financial position.

Competition from online auctioneers and other online companies with greater brand recognition may adversely affect our sales.

The market for online auctions is rapidly evolving and intensely competitive. We expect competition to intensify further in the future. Barriers to entry are relatively low, and current and new competitors can launch new websites at relatively low cost using commercially available software.

We currently compete with a number of other companies, and other competitors may appear in the future. Our competitors currently include various online auction services and retailers that offer jewelry, including eBay, Blue Nile, Overstock, and uBid. We also encounter competition from national jewelry retail chains, such as Zales, Kay Jewelers, and Finlay Fine Jewelry, and mass retailers and other enterprises that sell jewelry, such as Wal-Mart, Target, J. C. Penney, Costco, QVC, and Home Shopping Network. Many of our competitors have greater brand recognition, longer operating histories, larger customer bases, and significantly greater financial,

marketing, and other resources than we do. Smaller competitors may enter into strategic or commercial relationships with larger, more established, and well-financed companies. Other competitors may enter into exclusive distribution agreements with our suppliers that deny us access to suppliers' products. Competitors may also devote greater resources to marketing and promotional campaigns and to website and systems technology than we do. We may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors or address increased competitive pressures. Furthermore, attempts have been made in the past to develop and market synthetic stones and gems to compete in the market for gemstones and gemstone jewelry. We expect such efforts to continue in the future. If any such efforts are successful in creating widespread demand for synthetic or alternative gemstone products, demand and price levels for our products could decline and our business and results of operations would be substantially harmed.

We rely heavily on the sale of jewelry for our net revenue, and demand for these products could decline.

Luxury products, such as jewelry, are discretionary purchases for consumers. The volume and dollar amount of such purchases may be affected by adverse trends in the general economy and consumer perceptions of those trends, and purchases may significantly decrease during economic downturns. The success of our business depends in part on macroeconomic factors, such as employment levels, salary levels, tax rates, and credit availability, all of which affect disposable income and consumer spending. Any reduction in disposable income or consumer spending may affect us more significantly than companies in other industries.

Our net revenue and results of operations depend in part on the demand for jewelry. Consumers' tastes are subject to frequent, significant, and sometimes unpredictable changes. Should prevailing consumer tastes for jewelry change or the demand for jewelry decrease, the sale of our products could decline and our business and results of operations would suffer.

Furthermore, our ability to increase our net revenue and enhance our profitability may depend on our ability to expand our product offerings beyond our current offerings. If we offer new products that are not accepted by customers, our brand and reputation could be adversely affected, our sales may fall short of expectations, and we may incur substantial expenses that are not offset by increased sales.

Because we carry all of our jewelry products in inventory, our net revenue and gross margin may decrease if we are unable to predict and plan for changes in consumer demand.

If our sales increase, we will be required to increase our inventory proportionately. Consumer tastes and preferences for jewelry products can change rapidly, thereby exposing us to significant inventory risks. Currently, because the products we sell also consist of closeout merchandise from manufacturers, distributors, and other suppliers, we have less control over the specific items that we offer for sale than we would if we primarily ordered goods for manufacture for us. In addition, it is important that we are able to purchase jewelry that we perceive to be in demand. The demand for specific products can change between the time we order items and when we sell them. As a result, we may be required to take significant inventory markdowns, which could reduce our gross margin, if we do not accurately predict these trends or if we overstock unpopular merchandise.

We may be subject to a tax liability for past sales and our future sales may decrease if we are required to collect sales and use taxes on the products we sell.

In accordance with current industry practice and our interpretation of current law, we do not currently collect sales or other taxes with respect to shipments of goods into states other than California. However, one or more states or foreign countries may seek to impose sales or other tax obligations on us in the future. A successful assertion by one or more states or foreign countries that we should be collecting sales or other taxes on the sale of our products could result in substantial tax liabilities for past and future sales, discourage customers from purchasing products from us, decrease our ability to compete with traditional retailers, and otherwise substantially harm our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We rely on suppliers and third-party carriers as part of our fulfillment process, and these third parties may fail to meet shipping schedules or requirements.

We rely on suppliers to deliver our orders promptly, which we then carry in inventory to ensure availability and expedited delivery to our customers. We also rely on third-party carriers to ship our products to our customers. As a result, we are subject to various risks, including employee strikes and inclement weather, associated with the ability of third-party carriers to provide delivery services to meet our shipping needs and those of our suppliers. The failure of our suppliers and third-party carriers to deliver products to us or our customers in a timely manner or otherwise to serve us or our customers adequately would damage our reputation and brand and substantially harm our business and results of operations.

Increases in the cost of precious metals and precious and semi-precious stones would increase the cost of our jewelry products.

The jewelry industry is affected by fluctuations in the prices of precious metals and precious and semi-precious stones. The availability and prices of gold, silver, platinum, and other precious metals and precious and semi-precious stones may be influenced by such factors as cartels, political instability in exporting countries, changes in global demand, and inflation. Shortages of these materials or an increase in their prices could reduce the quantity of products we have available for sale and our ability to sell our products for more than our cost, causing reduced sales or lower margins compared to our historical and expected sales and margins.

The satisfactory availability, performance, and reliability of our website, network infrastructure, and transaction processing systems are critical to our ability to attract, retain, and service customers.

Any problems with the availability, performance, or reliability of our website, network infrastructure, or transaction processing systems could result in decreased customer traffic, reduced orders, reduced order fulfillment performance, and lower net revenue as well as negative publicity and damage to our reputation. In order to remain competitive, we must continually seek to improve and expand the functionality and features of our website, network infrastructure, transaction processing systems, and delivery and shipping functions to accommodate any substantial increase in the volume of traffic to and orders from our website. We may not be successful in these efforts, and we may not be able to project accurately the rate or timing of increases, if any, in the use of or sales from our website, or timely expand or upgrade our website, infrastructure, or systems to accommodate any such increases. Additionally, we may not be able to remedy any such availability, performance, or reliability problems in a timely manner, or at all, because we depend in part on third parties for such availability, performance, and reliability.

Our business operations could be disrupted due to the lack of documentation of our internally developed software application systems and dependence on key system developers to maintain the system.

Our business depends in part on continuous and uninterrupted online availability to our customers. Our internally developed software application systems are not fully documented and this makes it more difficult to locate and trouble shoot problems that may occur in our systems. Any delays in resolving system problems will result in longer disruptions to the service available to our customers and/or prevent our employees from performing their functions efficiently. The internally developed Auction platform, Billing, Shipping, and Inventory Control systems are not fully documented. We are dependent on institutional knowledge of our key system developers to provide ongoing support and functional enhancements. If we were to lose the services of these key systems support personnel, operations may suffer from system down time that would take longer than desirable to correct and return to normal operations.

Our business and results of operations would be harmed in the event of any failure of our auction and bidding systems hardware, which is located at a single third-party co-location facility, or any failure of our fulfillment and administrative hardware.

Our business depends in part on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of our computer and communications hardware. The servers and other hardware necessary to operate our website, including our auction and bidding systems, are located at a single third-party colocation facility in El Segundo, CA. We rely on that facility to provide Internet access with the speed, capacity, and reliability we require. Our servers and other hardware that run our transaction processing, order fulfillment, and office administration tasks are located at our headquarters. Our servers and other hardware are vulnerable to damage or interruption from human error, fire, flood, power loss, telecommunications failure, terrorist attacks, acts of war, break-ins, earthquakes, and similar events. We do not currently have redundant systems in multiple locations or a formal disaster recovery plan, and our business interruption insurance may be insufficient to compensate us for any losses that may occur. In addition, our servers are vulnerable to computer viruses, physical or electronic break-ins, and similar disruptions, which could lead to interruptions, delays, loss of critical data, the inability to accept and fulfill customer orders, or the unauthorized disclosure of confidential customer data. System disruptions or failures would also create a large number of customer questions and complaints that need to be addressed by our customer service support personnel. The occurrence of any of the foregoing risks could substantially harm our business and results of operations. Our systems are vulnerable to damage or interruption from earthquakes, floods, fires, power loss, telecommunication failures, terrorist attacks, computer viruses, computer denial-of-service attacks, and similar events.

We may not be able to increase our capacity or respond to rapid technological changes in a timely manner or without service interruptions, which may harm our business.

We may not be able to accommodate any substantial growth in traffic or user demand on our website, network infrastructure, and transaction processing systems in a timely manner or without substantial costs. If we are unable to upgrade our existing technology, network infrastructure, and transaction processing systems to accommodate any increased sales volume, our potential customers may be dissatisfied and may purchase merchandise from our competitors. We may also fail to provide enough capacity in our customer service and sales support functions to provide a high level of customer service. A failure to implement new systems and increase customer service capacity effectively could adversely affect our sales. Enhancement of our website, network infrastructure, and transaction processing systems will require us to spend a significantly higher amount than we have in the past. Moreover, to date, our spending in these areas as a percentage of revenue has been lower than industry averages. We have increased sigficantly in 2007 our system expenditures to accommodate these needs.

We also intend to introduce additional or enhanced features and services to retain current customers and attract new customers to our website. We may experience difficulties that could delay or prevent us from introducing new features and services. If we introduce a feature or a service that is not favorably received, our current customers may not use our website as frequently and we may not be successful in attracting new customers. These new features or services also may contain errors that are discovered only after their introduction, and we may need to modify significantly the design of these features or services to correct errors.

Our failure to protect confidential information of our customers and our network against security breaches could damage our reputation and substantially harm our business and results of operations.

A significant barrier to online commerce and communications is the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks. Third parties may have the technology or expertise to breach the security of customer transaction data. Our security measures may not prevent security breaches that could result in substantial harm to our business and results of operations and damage to our reputation. Currently, a majority of our sales are billed to our customers' credit card accounts directly. Under current credit card practices, we are liable for fraudulent credit card transactions because we do not obtain cardholder signatures. We rely on encryption and authentication technology licensed from third parties to effect secure transmission of confidential information, including credit card numbers. We do not currently carry insurance against this risk. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography, or other developments may result in a compromise or breach of the technology we use to protect customer transaction data. In addition, anyone who is able to circumvent our security measures could misappropriate proprietary information or cause interruptions in our operations. Any such compromise of our security could damage our reputation and brand and expose us to a risk of loss or litigation and possible liability, which would substantially harm our business and results of operations.

We are vulnerable to fraudulent activities on our website, including unauthorized use of customer information and identity theft by third parties.

Our network security measures to prevent third parties from penetrating our network and improperly accessing our customers' personal information or credit card information may not be successful. Although we have not experienced any theft of our customers' credit card information to date, as new discoveries in the field of cryptography and advances in computer capabilities occur, our security measures may not effectively prevent others from obtaining improper access to our customer information. In addition, third parties may target our customers directly with fraudulent identity theft schemes designed to appear as legitimate e-mails from us. In March 2006, one such third party attempted to solicit customer information through a tactic, commonly known as "phishing," whereby an e-mail purporting to be from us solicited customer information from recipients of the e-mail. Any such security breach or fraud perpetrated on our customers could expose us to increased costs and could harm our business and results of operations.

Our ability to grow our business will be impaired by delays, interruptions, or failures of the Internet.

Our success depends on the continued growth and maintenance of the Internet. The Internet has experienced, and is likely to continue to experience, significant growth in the numbers of users and amount of traffic. If Internet usage continues to grow as anticipated, the infrastructure may not be able to support the level of usage and its performance and reliability may decline. If outages or delays on the Internet increase, overall Internet usage could grow more slowly or decline. In addition, the performance of the Internet may be harmed by increased number of users or bandwidth requirements or by viruses, worms, and similar issues. The Internet has experienced a variety of outages and other delays as a result of damage to portions of its infrastructure, and it could face outages and delays in the future. These outages and delays could reduce the level of Internet usage.

We depend on the continued growth and acceptance of online commerce, and our business will be substantially impaired if the growth of online commerce slows or does not grow as expected.

Our success depends on the widespread acceptance and use of the Internet as an effective medium for commerce by consumers. The acceptance and use of the Internet may not continue to expand as expected, and a sufficient broad base of consumers necessary for its continued growth may not adopt the Internet as a medium for commerce. Consumers who historically have used traditional means of commerce may have concerns about privacy, the inability to physically inspect merchandise before buying, delivery time, product damage during shipment, and the costs and inconvenience involved in returning purchased items, which may inhibit their crossover to e-commerce. In order to expand our user base, we must appeal to and acquire consumers who historically have used traditional means of commerce to purchase goods. If these new customers execute fewer or lower dollar amounts of orders than our historical users, and we are unable to gain efficiencies in our operating costs, including the cost of acquiring new customers, our business and profits could be adversely affected.

Increased product returns and the failure to predict product returns could substantially harm our business and results of operations.

As we expand our business and product offerings, rates of product return may increase. Any significant increase in product returns above our return assumptions and allowances could substantially harm our business and results of operations.

Our inventory is vulnerable to damage or loss caused by fire, flood, earthquakes, and similar events, and we face the risk of theft of our products from inventory or during shipment.

Our inventory is stored at our warehouse/office facility in Culver City, California. Consequently, our merchandise supply is vulnerable to fire, flood, earthquakes, and similar events that may impact our facility. Any damage to or loss of all or a significant portion of our inventory could cause significant delays in shipment of goods to our customers, resulting in negative publicity about and diminished customer confidence in our website. In addition, we may experience theft of our products while they are being held in inventory or during the course of their shipment to our customers by third-party carriers. We have implemented security measures to prevent such theft and maintain insurance to cover losses resulting from theft. Nevertheless, we could incur significant losses from theft, which would substantially harm our business and results of operations, if our security measures fail, losses exceed our insurance coverage, or we are not able to maintain insurance at a reasonable cost.

Increases in credit card processing fees could increase our costs.

Visa, MasterCard, American Express, and Discover Card could increase the interchange fees that they charge for transactions using their cards. Increases in interchange fees may result in increased operating costs and reduced profit margin.

We may default on our obligations, if we are unable to generate sufficient cash through sales in a timely manner.

Since our formation, we have funded our operations through the sale of equity securities and cash generated from operations. The working capital characteristics of our business have allowed us to collect cash from sales to customers within several business days of the related sale, while we typically have extended payment terms with our suppliers. We do not, however, have any formal or binding supply agreements with any of our suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, or other suppliers or any other formal agreements with them on payment terms. Accordingly, there is a risk that we may default on our obligations if we are unable to generate sufficient cash through sales in a timely manner or through our credit facility.

Seasonal fluctuations in our net revenue could cause our quarterly results to fluctuate and cause our results of operations to be below expectations.

Historically, sales in the jewelry industry are seasonal and have been higher in the fourth quarter of the calendar year as a result of higher consumer spending during the December holiday season. Approximately 33.9%, 28.5% and 33.8% of our net revenue in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively, was generated during the fourth quarter. As we continue to grow, we expect to experience more pronounced seasonal fluctuations in our net revenue and anticipate a disproportionate amount of our revenue will occur in the fourth quarter. In anticipation of any increased sales activity during the fourth quarter, we may incur significant additional expenses, including higher sales and marketing costs and additional staffing in our fulfillment and customer support operations. If we were to experience lower than expected sales during any future fourth quarter, it would have a disproportionately large impact on our results of operations for that year. In the future, our seasonal sales patterns may become more pronounced, may strain our personnel and fulfillment activities, and may cause a shortfall in sales compared with expenses in a given period, which would substantially harm our business and results of operations.

Sales growth in prior periods may not be indicative of our future growth.

Our sales have fluctuated significantly in the past, in part, as a result of varying amounts of funds we have spent on advertising and inventory levels and may fluctuate significantly in the future because of increasing advertising and inventory costs. These factors may prevent the meaningful use of period-to-period comparisons of financial results. For these and other reasons, investors should not rely on past sales growth rates as a prediction of our future sales growth.

We have grown quickly, and our business will suffer if we fail to manage our growth.

We have expanded our operations at a rapid pace. This expansion has placed a significant strain on our management, operations, and financial resources. We anticipate adding personnel in the future, including managerial, technical, and operations personnel. In order to manage any further growth of our operations, we also will be required to improve existing and implement new transaction-processing, operational and financial systems, procedures, and controls. If we are unable to manage growth effectively, our business and results of operations will be harmed.

Descriptions of our jewelry items are not guarantees and may confuse, mislead, or disappoint our customers.

In an effort to provide accurate descriptions of jewelry posted on our website, we provide appraisal summaries from third-party gemological laboratories for some of our jewelry items. All other jewelry and watch descriptions are provided by our full-time Gemological Institute of America, or GIA, trained gemologists and several experienced product specialists. Nevertheless, there is a subjective component involved with respect to assessing the clarity, color, and carat characteristics of diamonds and other gemstones. In addition, mistakes or omissions of important information in our descriptions may occur. Even if our descriptions are accurate, buying a product online may lead to disappointment resulting in returned merchandise. For example, a customer may determine that a piece does not have the expected look, feel, and overall appearance of the merchandise that the buyer envisioned from the website photograph.

Additionally, in response to customer requests and as a courtesy to resellers, we provide "Compare" and/or "Close Now" prices for jewelry items listed on our website. Compare and Close Now prices are not meant to reflect fair market values or manufacturer suggested retail prices, and in fact, may be higher than prices found in local retail stores. As a consequence, perceived misrepresentations in item descriptions by disappointed buyers may occur, resulting in negative publicity about and diminished customer confidence in our website.

We may unknowingly be involved in "conflict" diamond purchases that result in a negative public relationship impact.

There has been an increase in attention to "conflict" diamonds originating out of war-torn regions of Africa. The proceeds from the sale of "conflict" diamonds have been used to fund the ongoing aggression and terrorist activates throughout the world. We make every effort to evaluate our vendor sources to ensure they are not a dealer in "conflict" diamonds. In addition we have implemented an anti-money laundering program to guard against both illicit purchase and sales activities. If these risks were to occur, we may face a negative public reaction to our brand name resulting in loss of sales.

We may not be able to maintain our domain name uniqueness.

Our Internet domain name is critical to our success. Under current domain name registration practices, no other entity can obtain an identical domain name, but can obtain a similar name or the identical name with a different suffix, such as ".net" or ".org," or with a different country designation, such as "jp" for Japan. We have not registered our domain name with different suffixes nor have we registered our name in any other jurisdiction. As a result, third parties may use domain names that are similar to our domain name, which may result in confusion to potential customers and lost sales. We are aware that other businesses have registered the name "bid2" with other suffixes and in other countries.

We may be subject to assertions by third parties for infringement on their intellectual property rights.

Third parties may in the future assert that we have infringed on their intellectual property rights as we self-develop our auction platform, sales transaction system, and inventory control system. We have a larger exposure of being accused of intellectual property rights infringements than if we had purchased our technology off the shelf. We also may be at risk for selling items that infringe on other third party trade dress rights, copyright, or patent rights that may cause the offended party to bring action against us. In either event of alleged infringement, we would face substantial litigation cost to defend ourselves and utilization of our management and personnel resources.

We may be unable to protect or enforce our own intellectual property rights adequately, and we may become subject to intellectual property litigation.

We regard the protection of our trademarks, copyrights, domain name, trade dress, and trade secrets as critical to our success. We rely on a combination of common law as well as trademark, copyright, trade dress, and trade secret laws to protect our intellectual property rights. We also have entered into confidentiality and invention assignment agreements with our employees and relevant contractors and nondisclosure agreements with relevant parties with whom we conduct business in order to limit access to and disclosure of our proprietary information. These contractual arrangements and other steps we have taken to protect our intellectual property may not prevent misappropriation of our intellectual property or deter independent development of similar intellectual property by others. We also are pursuing the registration of our domain name, trademarks, and service marks in the United States and internationally. Effective trademark, copyright, patent, trade dress, trade secret, and domain name protection is very expensive to maintain and may require litigation. We must protect our trademarks, copyrights, patents, and domain names in an increasing number of jurisdictions, a process that is expensive and may not be successful in every location.

Third parties may from time to time claim that we have infringed their intellectual property relating to our business model or auction systems. We expect that participants in our market increasingly will be subject to infringement claims as the number of services and competitors in our industry segment grows. Any claim like this, whether meritorious or not, could be time-consuming, result in costly litigation, cause service upgrade delays, or require us to enter into royalty or license agreements. These royalty or license agreements may not be available on acceptable terms or at all. As a result, any such claim could harm our business.

We may be unable to enforce protection of our intellectual property rights under the laws of other countries.

We anticipate that we will become increasingly subject to international intellectual property risks, including differing intellectual property laws, which may be insufficient to protect our intellectual property, unique local laws, and lack of applicable law or clear precedent.

Various legal rules and regulations related to privacy and the collection, dissemination, and security of personal information may adversely affect our marketing efforts.

We are subject to increasing regulation at the federal, state, and international levels relating to privacy and the use of personal user information designed to protect the privacy of personally identifiable information as well as to protect against its misuse. These laws include the Federal Trade Commission Act, the CAN-SPAM Act of 2003, the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Homeland Security Act, and related regulations. Several states have proposed legislation that would limit the use of personal information gathered online or require online services to establish privacy policies. Moreover, proposed legislation in the United States and existing laws in foreign countries require companies to establish procedures to notify users of privacy and security policies, obtain consent from users for collection and use of personal information, and/or provide users with the ability to access, correct, and delete personal information stored by companies. These regulations and other laws, rules, and regulations enacted in the future may adversely affect our ability to collect, disseminate, or share demographic and personal information about users and our ability to e-mail or telephone users, which could be costly and adversely affect our marketing efforts.

We may be subject to regulations governing the conduct and liability of auctioneers, which could affect the way in which we conduct our business or otherwise increase our cost of doing business.

Numerous states and foreign jurisdictions, including California, where our headquarters are located, have regulations regarding how auctions may be conducted and the liability of auctioneers in conducting such auctions. No final legal determination has been made whether the California regulations apply to our business, and little precedent exists in this area. Several states and some foreign jurisdictions have attempted, and may attempt in the future, to impose regulations that could affect us or our users, which could harm our business.

We are subject to regulations relating to consumer privacy, which could increase the cost of our doing business, expose us to litigation costs, increase our service or delivery costs, and otherwise harm our business.

Several states have proposed, and California, Minnesota, Utah, and Vermont have recently passed, legislation that would limit the uses of personal user information gathered online or offline. Many states already have such laws and continually consider strengthening them, especially against online services. However, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, or FCRA, a federal statute enacted in 1970 to protect consumer privacy, includes a provision preempting conflicting state laws on the sharing of information between corporate affiliates. The preemptive provisions of FCRA were permanently extended in 2002, thereby ensuring that we are not subject to the laws of each individual state with respect to matters within the scope of FCRA, but remain subject to the other provisions of FCRA.

The Federal Trade Commission also has settled several proceedings against companies regarding the manner in which personal information is collected from users and provided to third parties. Specific statutes intended to protect user privacy have been passed in many foreign jurisdictions. Compliance with these laws, given the tight integration of our systems across different countries and the need to move data to facilitate transactions among our users, such as payment companies and shipping companies, is both necessary and difficult. Failure to comply could subject us to lawsuits, fines, criminal penalties, statutory damages, adverse publicity, and other losses that could harm our business. Changes to existing laws or the passage of new laws intended to address these issues could directly affect the way we do business or could create uncertainty on the use of the Internet. This could reduce demand for our products, increase the cost of our doing business, expose us to litigation costs, increase our service or delivery costs, or otherwise harm our business.

New and existing regulations could harm our business.

We are subject to the same foreign and domestic laws as other companies conducting both online and offline business. There are still relatively few laws specifically directed towards online business. However, due to the increasing popularity and use of the Internet and online services, many laws relating to the Internet are being debated at all levels of government around the world, and it is possible that such laws and regulations will be adopted. These laws and regulations could cover issues such as user privacy, freedom of

expression, pricing, fraud, content and quality of products and services, taxation, advertising, intellectual property rights, and information security. Applicability to the Internet of existing laws governing issues, such as property ownership, copyrights and other intellectual property issues, taxation, libel and defamation, obscenity, and personal privacy is uncertain. The vast majority of these laws was adopted prior to the advent of the Internet and related technologies and, as a result, do not contemplate or address the unique issues of the Internet and related technologies. Those laws that do reference the Internet, such as the U.S. Digital Millennium Copyright Act and the European Union's Directive on Distance Selling and Electronic Commerce, have only recently begun to be interpreted by the courts and implemented by the European Union member states, so their applicability and scope remain uncertain. As our activities and the types of goods listed on our website expand, regulatory agencies may claim that we or our users are subject to licensure in their jurisdiction, either with respect to our business in general, or in order to allow the sale of certain items, such as real estate, event tickets, boats, and automobiles.

In addition, because our products are available over the Internet in multiple states and because we sell merchandise to consumers residing in multiple states, we could be required to qualify to do business as a foreign corporation in each state in which our products are available. Our failure to qualify as a foreign corporation in a jurisdiction in which we are required to do so could subject us to penalties. Any new legislation or regulation, or the application of laws or regulations from jurisdictions whose laws do not currently apply to our business could have a material adverse affect on our business.

We depend to a very significant extent on David Zinberg, our Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive Officer.

Our performance depends substantially upon David Zinberg, our Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive Officer, who has extensive experience with the purchase and sale of jewelry. We rely on Mr. Zinberg to make critical operational decisions on a daily basis, such as product offerings and purchases, and to make key strategic plans. We have an employment agreement with Mr. Zinberg extending through 2009. The loss of the services of Mr. Zinberg would adversely affect our business and operations.

Holders of common stock issued by us in prior offerings may be entitled to rescind their purchases.

From our inception until mid-2003, we raised over \$20.5 million in gross equity capital by selling shares of our common stock to approximately 830 investors at prices ranging from \$4.00 to \$6.00 per share with a weighted average share price of \$4.50. We also issued shares of common stock to about 30 persons in exchange for services and other non-cash consideration valued in excess of \$5.5 million and issued approximately 250 stock option grants to purchase common stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$4.45. After conducting these sales of our securities, we determined that these sales were not exempt from the registration requirements under the Securities Act of 1933 and similar provisions of laws of the states in which our stockholders reside, because certain of our employees who solicited investors engaged in a "general solicitation" of securities. In addition, these employees received compensation for selling our common stock and were required to be licensed as broker-dealers with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, and various state authorities, but they were not so licensed. In such situations, a number of remedies may be available to regulatory authorities and the investors who purchased common stock in those offerings, including a right of rescission, civil penalties, a restraining order or injunction, and a court order to pay restitution and costs.

We do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock, and, consequently, your only opportunity to achieve a return on your investment is if the price of our common stock appreciates.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. We intend to invest any future earnings to fund our business. Therefore, stockholders likely will not receive any dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. Investors cannot be certain that they will receive a positive return on their investment when they sell their shares or that they will not lose the entire amount of their investment.

The concentration of our capital stock ownership with our founder and executive officers and directors and their affiliates will limit your ability to influence corporate matters.

Our founder, executive officers, and directors together own approximately 33.3% of our common stock as of December 31, 2007. Marina Zinberg, our Vice President, who is the sister of David Zinberg, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, owns an additional 31.3% of our common stock. As a result, these individuals will have significant influence over our management and affairs and over all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and the approval of significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or a sale of our company or its assets, for the foreseeable future. This concentrated control will limit the ability of other stockholders to influence corporate matters. Because of this, we may take actions that some of our stockholders do not view as beneficial. As a result, the market price of our common stock could be adversely affected because stockholders may not view favorably the concentration of control in the hands of management.

Our charter documents and Delaware law could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, and discourage a takeover.

On June 22, 2006, we reincorporated in Delaware, and the Delaware certificate of incorporation and the Delaware General Corporation Law, or DGCL, contain provisions that may have the effect of making more difficult or delaying attempts by others to obtain control of our company, even when these attempts may be in the best interests of our stockholders. The Delaware certificate of incorporation also authorizes our Board of Directors, without stockholder approval, to issue one or more series of preferred stock, which could have voting and conversion rights that adversely affect or dilute the voting power of the holders of common stock. Delaware law also imposes conditions on certain business combination transactions with "interested stockholders." The Delaware certificate of incorporation divides our Board of Directors into three classes, with one class to stand for election each year for a three-year term after the initial election. The classification of directors tends to discourage a third party from initiating a proxy solicitation or otherwise attempting to obtain control of our company and may maintain the incumbency of our Board of Directors, as this structure generally increases the difficulty of, or may delay, replacing a majority of directors. The Delaware bylaws authorize our Board of Directors to fill vacancies or newly created directorships. A majority of the directors then in office may elect a successor to fill any vacancies or newly created directorships.

ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties.

We lease a 50,000 square foot facility in Culver City, California, which serves as our corporate headquarters and houses our sales, marketing, research and development, customer service, fulfillment, and warehouse operations. Our lease expires in October 2009. We expect that our current facility will satisfy our needs through 2009. In August 2007, we leased a separate 12,000 square-foot warehouse in Culver City, California and this lease expires in August 2012.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Cartier v. Bidz.com

We are a defendant in a lawsuit filed by Cartier, and Cartier International, B.V., in the United States District Court Southern District of New York in April 2006. The lawsuit alleges that Cartier has proprietary rights, including trade dress, patents, trademarks, copyrights and others, in watch designs known as a Tank, Tank Francaise, Tank Americaine, Panthere and Pasha De Cartier Grille, and Tank Devan, and further alleges that we have infringed upon those rights by selling watches bearing copies of one or more of the watch designs. We intend to defend the lawsuit vigorously. We do not believe the outcome of the case will have a materially adverse effect on our financial position. The court has yet to set a trial date.

We are not currently a party to any material legal proceedings.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On June 6, 2007, the Company held its annual meeting of shareholders, at which time the following directors were elected to serve a three year term expiring in 2010: David Zinberg, and Peter Hanelt. The number of votes cast for each of the directors was 1,765,825, the number of votes against was 0 and the number of abstentions and broker non-votes was 97,758. The term for Lawrence Y. Kong and Man Jit Singh, will be expiring at the annual meeting of stockholders in 2008.

Effective May 7, 2007, the Board was expanded to five (5) members with one (1) additional director, Garry Itkin, appointed to the Board. As previously reported, Mr. Itkin, who served as a director of the Company since July 2003, resigned as a director on December 18, 2006, citing his inability to devote sufficient time to his duties as a director. Mr. Itkin is now able to devote the time necessary to participate fully as a director of the Company and resumed his position as Director.

Part II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is quoted on The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC under the symbol "BIDZ." The following table sets forth the high, low and closing market prices of our common stock during each of the four calendar quarters for 2007:

For the quarter ended:	_	High	 Low	Close		
March 31, 2007	\$	_	\$ 	\$		
June 30, 2007		10.70	4.90		8.10	
September 30, 2007		13.94	6.82		13.46	
December 31, 2007		22.50	8.20		8.97	

As of December 31, 2007, there were 24,556,354 shares of common stock outstanding.

We have established a public market for our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market. We have registered for offer and sale the shares of common stock that are reserved for issuance pursuant to stock options covered by our stock option plans. Shares covered by such registration statements upon the exercise of stock options or warrants generally will be eligible for sale in the public market, except that affiliates will continue to be subject to volume limitations and other requirements of Rule 144. As of December 31, 2007, the number of shares of our common stock that is subject to outstanding options to purchase common stock is 8,131,300.

Shares held by management and affiliates of our company are subject to the resale limitations of Rule 144. In general, under Rule 144 as currently in effect, any person, or persons whose shares are aggregated for purposes of Rule 144, who beneficially owns restricted securities with respect to which at least six months has elapsed since the later of the date the shares were acquired from us, or from an affiliate of ours, is entitled to sell within any three-month period a number of shares that does not exceed the greater of 1% of the then outstanding shares of our common stock and the average weekly trading volume in common stock during the four calendar weeks preceding such sale. Sales under Rule 144 also are subject to certain manner-of-sale provisions and notice requirements and to the availability of current public information about us.

Dividends

We have never declared or paid any dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate that we will pay any dividends to holders of our common stock in the foreseeable future. Instead, we currently plan to retain any earnings to finance the growth of our business. Payments of any cash dividends in the future will be within the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, and capital requirements as well as other factors deemed relevant by our Board of Directors.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (1)	ex outs	eighted-average ercise price of tanding options, rants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders				
2006 Stock Award Plan	1,115,000	\$	6.00	_
2002 Special Stock Option Plan	395,000	\$	4.50	_
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders				•
2001 Stock Option Plan	571,300	\$	6.00	_
Non-statutory Stock Option (2)	6,050,000	\$	6.00	
Total	8,131,300	\$	6.20	

⁽¹⁾ The total number of shares of common stock to be issued upon exercise of all outstanding stock options is 8,131,300 as of December 31, 2007.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

During the past three years we have sold the following securities that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933. The share issuances were undertaken in reliance on the exemption from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Act") set forth in Section 4(2) of the Act or Rule 701 under the Act.

In 2007, we issued 10,000 and 8,750 shares of our common stock to each of our outside directors, Peter Hanelt and Man Jit Singh, respectively, as payment of independent director fees. We also issued 40,000, 35,000 and 35,000 restricted share awards of our common stock, with 25% vesting at the end of each year over a four year period, under the 2006 Award Plan to each of our outside directors, Peter Hanelt, Man Jit Singh and Garry Itkin, respectively, as payment of independent director fees. We issued stock options

⁽²⁾ Includes a non-statutory stock option to purchase 6,000,000 shares at an exercise price of \$6.00 per share granted to David Zinberg, our President and Chief Executive Officer.

to purchase an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares in our common stock at an exercise price of \$8.23 per share to 4 of our employees under our 2006 Award Plan for services rendered to the our company. We also issued 16,000 restricted share awards of our common stock, with 25% vesting at the end of each year over a four year period, under the 2006 Award Plan to 5 employees for services rendered to our company.

In 2006, we issued 10,000 shares of our common stock to each of our outside directors, Peter Hanelt and Man Jit Singh, as payment of independent director fees. We also issued 15,000 and 1,404 shares of common to an employee and independent consultant, respectively, for services rendered. The shares of common stock were issued at a price of \$6.00 per share. We issued stock options to purchase an aggregate of 235,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$6.00 per share to three of our employees under our 2006 Award Plan for services rendered to our company.

In 2005, we issued stock options to purchase an aggregate of 678,500 shares and 25,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$6.00 per share to, respectively, 44 of our employees under our 2001 Stock Option Plan and one non-employee for services rendered to our company.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table contains information about repurchases of our common stock during the fourth quarter of 2007:

2007	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Program	Maximum Number of Shares that may yet be Purchased Under the Program (1)
October 1 — October 31		_	_	-
November 1 — November 30		_	_	_
December 1 — December 31	100,000	\$ 8.86	100,000	464,000
Total	100,000	\$ 8.86	100,000	464,000

⁽¹⁾ In June 2007, we authorized the stock buyback program for up to \$5.0 million of our outstanding common stock to be repurchased through the open market at prices deemed appropriate by management. As of December 31, 2007, we have \$4.1 million of authorization remaining under this program and the maximum number of shares that may yet be repurchased is based on the average price paid per share of \$8.86.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The selected financial data presented below has been derived from our financial statements. The statement of operations data for each of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007 and the balance sheet data at December 31, 2006 and 2007 are derived from our audited financial statements that are included in this report. The statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004 and the balance sheet data at December 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005 are derived from audited financial statements that are not included in this report. The historical results presented below are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in any future period. You should read this information together with "Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations" and our financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this report.

	Years Ended December 31,									
(in thousands, except per share data)	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007					
Statement of Operations Data:										
Net Revenue	47,665	65,324	90,579	131,823	187,131					
Cost of Revenue	42,188	53,072	71,257	100,633	132,683					
Gross Profit	5,477	12,252	19,322	31,190	54,448					
Operating Expenses:		•	•	•	ĺ					
General & Administrative	9,259	8,800	10,938	13,853	20,458					
Sales & Marketing	1,960	2,542	5,078	10,300	12,578					
Rescission and Public Offering Costs	_	_	442	1,287						
Depreciation & Amortization	61	139	157	273	498					
Total Operating Expenses	11,280	11,481	16,615	25,713	33,534					
Profit (Loss) from Operations	(5,803)	771	2,707	5,477	20,914					
Interest income (expense), net	(27)		22	32	(250)					
Income taxes		_	(82)	(120)	(2,538)					
Net Profit (Loss)	(5,830)	771	2,647	5,389	18,126					
Net Profit (Loss) common share:										
Basic	(0.21)	0.03	0.11	0.23	0.77					
Diluted	(0.21)	0.03	0.11	0.23	0.69					
Balance Sheet Data:										
Cash & Cash Equivalents	10	5	7	359	4,808					
Working Capital (deficit)	(3,148)	(2,164)	1,009	5,974	31,080					
Total Assets	7,270	12,490	17,746	38,542	72,401					
Revolving Credit Line		· —	· 	3,941	5,924					
Total Liabilities	9,355	13,570	15,963	31,815	39,733					
Total Stockholders' Equity (deficit)	(2,085)	(1,080)	1,783	6,727	32,668					

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes which appear elsewhere in this form. This discussion contains forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including various risks and uncertainties discussed below and throughout this report.

Overview

We are a leading online retailer of jewelry, featuring a live auction format. We have established our retail brand in the online marketplace by offering high-quality merchandise, a unique user-friendly shopping experience, and the opportunity for buyers to achieve significant cost savings versus traditional retail channels. A key to our success has been our ability to efficiently and cost effectively source closeout and regular jewelry merchandise, and rapidly respond to changing consumer demands for certain jewelry. We offer our products through a continuous live format, featuring a \$1 minimum opening bid, and a unique 15-second auction extension period that allows our auctions to continue until all bids are received. The majority of our auctions are short-term, often lasting less than one hour, providing immediate gratification to our customers and encouraging frequent visits and active viewing of our website. Our product inventory includes gold, platinum, and silver jewelry set with diamonds, rubies, emeralds, sapphires, and other precious and semi-precious stones; and watches. We believe we are the second largest online retailer of jewelry based on revenue and the largest online jewelry auction site based on web traffic.

We sell to consumers looking for reliable bargains on jewelry. Because we purchase and retain all of our jewelry inventory onsite, we can provide our customers with timely service and delivery of their purchases. In addition, each item is inspected by one of our trained product specialists prior to its placement on our website, which assures our customers of the quality of their purchases of our products. We operate in a highly competitive market with low barriers to entry. By selling our own merchandise, we provide a buying environment in which we minimize fraudulent activity and questionable product quality frequently associated with purchase transactions from third-party sellers. We also provide a 15-day return policy on all merchandise. We believe that many of our customers resell merchandise that they purchase from our auctions on eBay, at local auctions, and through other retail channels. Our auctions are conducted 24 hours a day, seven days a week. We also offer daily telephone customer support, 24-hour online live-help and e-mail customer support.

Historically, sales in the jewelry industry are seasonal and have been higher in the fourth quarter of the calendar year as a result of higher consumer spending during the December holiday season. Approximately 33.9%, 28.5% and 33.8% of our net revenue in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively, was generated during the fourth quarter. As we continue to grow, we expect to experience more pronounced seasonal fluctuations in our net revenue and anticipate a disproportionate amount of our revenue will occur in the fourth quarter.

In line with our growth plans, we have completed a major upgrade of our auction platform at the end of 2007. The new auction LiveBid system supports a broad range of leading browser software including Microsoft Internet Explorer, FireFox and Safari. The new system touts high availability, faster end-user response time and greater scalability among the key features delivered in this release. We plan on leveraging this new software solution to launch major additional site features, the new online retail store and foreign language versions of our website.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements requires us to make certain estimates and judgments that affect amounts reported and disclosed in our financial statements and related notes. We base our estimates on historical experience and on other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates. The following are the critical accounting policies that we believe require significant estimation and judgment.

Revenue Recognition

We derive our revenue from four sources: merchandise sales, shipping revenue, auction transaction fee revenue and other revenue. In accordance with SAB 104, we recognize revenue from all four sources when the following revenue recognition criteria are met: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) the product has been shipped or the service has been rendered and the customer takes ownership and assumes the risk of loss; (3) the selling price is fixed or determinable; and (4) collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured.

We recognize merchandise sales upon shipments made to consumers that are fulfilled from our warehouse. We require payment before we ship and the payment is generally made online by credit card or other electronic payment methods.

We record amounts received or billed prior to shipment of goods to customers as deferred revenue. We reduce gross sales by returns and chargebacks from customers.

We present sales tax liability on a net basis in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 06-03. Sales are not grossed up with the attendant taxes and deducted as a separate line item. The EITF becomes effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2006.

We report shipping and handling fees and costs in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") issue No. 00-10, "Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs." As such, in the Statement of Operations all amounts billed to customers by us related to shipping and handling are included in "Net revenue" and our shipping costs are included in "Cost of revenue."

We include auction transaction fee revenue in net revenue. The 3% auction transaction fee is applied to all merchandise sales, including shipping and handling, but not to sales tax.

Other revenue includes commissions and advertising revenue. We derive commission revenue from sales of Certified Merchant merchandise that is owned by third parties and recognize that revenue when services have been rendered. For commission revenue, we recognize as revenue only the commission portion of the price our customers pay for the purchased products because we are acting as an agent in such transactions. Commissions are also reduced by the impact of returns and other discounts redeemed to obtain such sales. We recognize advertising revenue from allowing other companies to advertise on our web site when the services are rendered and the advertising revenue is known and collectible.

Return and Allowance Reserve

We estimate potential future product returns and chargebacks related to current period revenue. We analyze historical returns, and changes in customer demand and acceptance of our products when evaluating the adequacy of the sales returns reserve and other allowances in any accounting period. If actual returns are greater than our estimates, we will record additional returns and allowances in addition to our estimates, which will result in lower net revenue recorded during that period. Reserves for returns and chargebacks are included in accrued liabilities.

Inventory Reserve

We record reserves against our inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the average selling price that is lower than cost for inventory that is held for less than one year. We also record reserves of 100% of the cost of inventory held for more than one year. Inventory reserve is \$461,000 and \$1.1 million at December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by us, specific reserves or additional inventory write-downs may be required.

Inventory Co-op Marketing Contributions

In third quarter 2007, we started to bill and collect from our inventory vendors co-op marketing contributions as an incentive for us to market and sell the inventory for sale on the Bidz website. In accordance with EITF 02-16: Accounting by a Customer (including a Reseller) for Certain Consideration Received from a Vendor, the co-op marketing contributions that cannot be identified specifically to benefit the vendors are a reduction in cost of sales and will result in an increase in gross profit. We cannot specifically identify the benefits to each vendor, consequently, co-op marketing contributions are charged against inventory as a reduction of costs and amortized as a reduction of cost of sales over an estimated inventory turnover period of approximately 110 days. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, we have earned \$0 and \$4.8 million of co-op marketing contributions, respectively, with \$0 and \$4.2 million, respectively, remaining unamortized as an offset to inventory at December 31, 2006 and 2007. Receivable from vendors for co-op marketing contributions is included in other current assets and amounted to \$0 and \$2.9 million at December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively.

Deferred Tax Benefit

We recognized deferred tax benefit of \$2.2 million as of December 31, 2007 (and more fully described in Note 11 in our audited financial statements). Deferred tax benefit is included in other current assets (as described in Note 3 in our audited financial statements).

Stock-Based Compensation

Prior to January 1, 2006, we measured compensation expense to employees for our stock option incentive plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. Utilizing the intrinsic value method prescribed by APB No. 25, we did not record compensation expense when stock options were granted to eligible participants as long as the exercise price was not less than the fair market value of the stock when the option was granted.

We recorded stock-based compensation representing the difference between the option exercise price and the deemed fair value of our common stock on the grant date for financial reporting purposes. We determined the deemed fair value of our common stock based upon the most recent third-party sale of our common stock known to us. Had different assumptions or criteria been used to determine the deemed fair value of our common stock, different amounts of stock-based compensation could have been reported.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure," which amended SFAS No. 123, we disclosed pro forma net income and net income per share as if the fair value based method had been applied in measuring compensation expense. The disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148 were effective for financial statements for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. We adopted the disclosure requirements in the first quarter of 2003. This information is contained in Note 1 to our financial statements. The fair values of options and shares issued pursuant to our option plan at each grant date were estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Because there has been no public market for our common stock, we used the minimum value method in determining the expected volatility of our common stock was zero. The transition provisions did not have an impact on our financial position or results of operations as we did not elect to adopt the fair value-based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation under SFAS No. 123.

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R") requiring that share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements based on the fair value of the equity or liability instrument issued. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, we used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the value of our options in implementing SFAS 123R and the calculated method to determine expected volatility, rather than the minimum value method, which we previously used to determine expected volatility. Under SFAS 123R stock-based compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of the portion of stock-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest. Accordingly, stock-based compensation expense recognized in the statements of income will be reduced for forfeitures for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007. SFAS 123R requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if

actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. In the pro forma information required under SFAS 148 for the period prior to 2006, we did not account for forfeitures, as all options granted were fully vested at the date of grant and were not subject to any service period conditions. We expensed stock-based compensation on the date of grant, as all options granted prior to January 1, 2006, vested immediately. In the future, we anticipate that stock-based compensation will include vesting provisions and therefore stock-based compensation expense in the future will be reduced for forfeitures.

We adopted SFAS 123R using the modified prospective method which requires the application of the accounting standard as of January 1, 2006. Under this transition method, we are required to recognize compensation cost beginning January 1, 2006 for the portion of outstanding awards for which the requisite service has been rendered, based on the grant-date fair value of those awards calculated under SFAS 123 for our pro forma disclosures. In accordance with the modified prospective method, the financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123R.

We also adopted FASB Staff Position No. FAS 123(R)-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards ("FSP 123(R)-3"). Under FSP 123(R)-3, the Company elected to use the short-cut method to calculate the historical pool of windfall tax benefits. The Company elected to use the tax law ordering approach for purposes of determining whether an excess of tax benefit has been realized.

Description of Our Revenue, Costs, and Expenses

The following table presents our historical results of operations for the periods indicated as a percentage of net revenue.

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2005	2006	2007			
Net Revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Gross Profit	21.3	23.7	29.1			
Operating Expenses:						
General & Administrative	12.1	10.5	10.9			
Sales & Marketing	5.6	7.8	6.7			
Rescission and Public Offering Costs	0.4	1.0				
Depreciation & Amortization	0.2	0.2	0.3			
Total Operating Expenses	18.3	19.5	17.9			
Profit from Operations	3.0	4.2	11.2			
Interest income (expense), net	0.0	0.0	(0.1)			
Income taxes	(0.1)	(0.1)	(1.4)			
Net Profit	2.9%	4.1 %	9.7%			

Net Revenue

Substantially all of our net revenue consists of jewelry sold via the Internet, net of returns. We also generate net revenue from shipping and handling, auction transaction fee revenue, and other revenue.

The following table presents our sources of revenue for the periods indicated as a percentage of net revenue.

	Year Ended December 31,					
Net Revenue Merchandise sales	2005	2006	2007			
Net Revenue						
Merchandise sales	88.6%	85.3 %	84.6%			
Shipping & handling	10.4	11.5	12.1			
Transaction fee	0.7	3.1	3.1			
Other revenue	0.3	0.1	0.2			
Total Net Sales	100.0 %	100.0%	100.0%			

In November 2005, we implemented a 3% auction transaction fee on all sales.

Net revenue by geographic area is presented based upon the country of destination. No foreign country accounted for 10% or more of net revenue for any of the fiscal years presented. Net revenue by geographic area for the periods indicated was as follows:

(in thousands, except percentages) Geographic area			Years ended I	December 31,		
(in thousands, except percentages)	200	05	20	07		
Geographic area	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
United States	\$ 73,199	80.8 %	\$ 104,338	79.2%	(\$ 145,412	77.7%
International	17,380	19.2	27,485	20.8	41,719	22.3
Total	\$ 90,579	100.0 %	\$ 131,823	100.0%	\$ 187,131	100.0 %

Gross Profit

Our gross profit consists of net revenue less the cost of sales. Our cost of sales consists of the cost of merchandise sold to customers, amortized co-op marketing contributions from vendors, inbound and outbound shipping costs, packaging and shipping supplies, insurance on shipments, product repair and service costs, and credit card and other transaction fees associated with payments.

General and Administrative Expenses

Our general and administrative expenses consist primarily of payroll and related benefit costs for our employees. These expenses also include fulfillment, customer service, technology, professional fees, other general corporate expenses, and stock-based compensation, consisting substantially of stock option grants and stock grants to employees and directors.

Sales and Marketing Expenses

Our marketing expenses consist primarily of costs associated with paid website search marketing, online banner marketing, e-mail campaigns, affiliate programs and optimization services. These marketing programs, which are designed to drive buyers to our website, are the most important factor in increasing demand for our jewelry products and increasing net revenue.

Rescission and Public Offering Costs

These costs consist primarily of regulatory filing fees, accountant fees, legal fees and printing expenses incurred in the planned initial public offering.

Results of Operations

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2007 with Year Ended December 31, 2006

Net Revenue

Net revenue increased 42.0% to \$187.1 million in 2007 from \$131.8 million in 2006. This increase in net revenue was due primarily to the growth in demand for our jewelry products and the increase in average order value. The growth in demand was primarily the result of the number of new buyers we attracted during 2007. We have also implemented three initiatives in 2007 that generated more demand from our customers. In certain circumstances, including satisfaction of our gross profit criteria and availability of multiple quantities of items, we allow customers who did not have the winning bid to purchase items at their last bid price, we allow customers to purchase multiple quantities of items and we allow customers viewing the item to purchase the item at the winning bid price.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased 74.6% to \$54.4 million in 2007 from \$31.2 million in 2006. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenue increased to 29.1% in 2007 from 23.7% in 2006. The increase in gross profit as a percentage of net revenue resulted primarily from more effective purchasing, increased margin on shipping and handling charges, and co-op marketing contributions from vendors commencing in July 2007. Gross profit margins benefited from the amortized co-op marketing contributions that reduced our cost of sales by \$4.8 million or 2.6% of net revenue.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased 47.7% to \$20.5 million in 2007 from \$13.9 million in 2006. The increase in general and administrative expenses was due mainly to increases in payroll and payroll related expenses, SOX compliance costs, outsourcing and other administrative expenses. We increased our personnel from 198 at year end, 2006 to 240 at year end, 2007. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of net revenue was 10.9% in 2007 compared to 10.5% in 2006.

Sales and Marketing Expenses

Sales and marketing expenses increased 22.1% to \$12.6 million in 2007 from \$10.3 million in 2006. This increase resulted primarily from increased marketing campaigns to attract new buyers. Our efforts to control the sales and marketing expenses and improve the effectiveness of our marketing campaigns have been successful in lowering the costs relative to the higher growth in net revenue. Consequently, sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of net revenue decreased to 6.7% in 2007 from 7.8% in 2006. We expect our sales and marketing expenses to continue to increase in absolute dollars, as we intend to continue increasing our online marketing campaigns in order to attract new customers.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2006 with Year Ended December 31, 2005

Net Revenue

Net revenue increased 45.5% to \$131.8 million in 2006 from \$90.6 million in 2005. This increase in net revenue was due to the increase in the number of orders and the average selling price per order. The increase in the number of orders was primarily the result of the number of new buyers we attracted during 2006. The increase in average selling price was due mainly to the purchase of higher priced inventory.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased 61.4% to \$31.2 million in 2006 from \$19.3 million in 2005. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenue increased to 23.7% in 2006 from 21.3% in 2005. The increase in gross profit as a percentage of net revenue resulted primarily from more effective purchasing, implementation of a 3% auction transaction fee in November 2005, partially offset by a small decrease in margin on shipping and handling charges.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased 26.7% to \$13.9 million in 2006 from \$10.9 million in 2005. The increase in general and administrative expenses in 2006 was due primarily to a \$1.5 million increase in payroll and payroll related expenses and a \$701,000 increase in outsourcing expenses. We increased our personnel from 176 at year end, 2005 to 198 at year end, 2006. Our outsourcing expenses increased in 2006 in the areas of customer service, photo editing, auction uploading and IT support. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of net revenue decreased to 10.5% in 2006 from 12.1% in 2005 as we continue to leverage on our operational infrastructure.

Sales and Marketing Expenses

Sales and marketing expenses increased 103% to \$10.3 million in 2006 from \$5.1 million in 2005. This increase resulted primarily from increased marketing campaigns and cost of online advertising to attract new buyers. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of net revenue increased to 7.8% in 2006 from 5.6% in 2005. We expect our sales and marketing expenses to continue to increase in absolute dollars, as we intend to continue increasing our online marketing campaigns in order to attract new customers. We do not expect our sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenue to increase.

Rescission and Public Offering Costs

These costs consist primarily of regulatory filing fees, accountant fees, legal fees and printing expenses incurred in the planned initial public offering, which amounted to \$442,000 and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2006, respectively. Costs incurred in 2005 relate primarily to rescission related costs of the planned initial public offering whereas costs incurred in 2006 relate to the registration statement of the planned initial public offering. The capitalized costs of the rescission and initial public offering were expensed when the Company determined it was unable to complete the offering and subsequently requested withdrawal of the registration statement.

Quarterly Operations Data

The following tables set forth quarterly statements of operations data for the eight quarters ended December 31, 2007, including amounts expressed as a percentage of net revenue. This quarterly information is unaudited, but has been prepared on the same basis as the annual financial statements and, in our opinion, reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair representation of the information for the periods presented. This quarterly statement of operations data should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus. Results of operations for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for any future period.

Historically, sales in the jewelry industry are seasonal and have been higher in the fourth quarter as a result of higher consumer spending during the December holiday season. Approximately 33.9%, 28.5%, and 33.8% of our net revenue in 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively, was generated during the fourth quarter. As we continue to grow, we expect to experience more pronounced seasonal fluctuations in our net revenue and anticipate a disproportionate amount of our revenue in the fourth quarter in the future.

	Quarters ended															
(in thousands, except per share data)		rch 31, 2006	J	une 30, 2006	5	Sept. 30, 2006		Dec. 31, 2006	M	larch 31, 2007	,	June 30, 2007	5	Sept. 30, 2007)	Dec. 31, 2007
								(una	dite	ed)						
Net revenue	\$ 3	34,694	\$	32,434	\$	27,061	\$	37,634	\$	44,724	\$	39,123	\$	40,051	\$	63,233
Gross profit	Ψ	9,178	Ψ	7,689	Ψ	6,662	Ψ	7,661	Ψ	11,128	Ψ	10,874	Ψ	12,695	Ψ	19,751
General and administrative		3,352		3,443		3,052		4,007		4,843		4,985		4,945		5,684
Sales and marketing		2,407		2,838		2,531		2,523		2,600		2,019		2,406		5,552
Rescission and public		2,707		2,000		2,331		2,525		2,000		2,017		4,400		3,332
offering costs				1,231				56								_
Depreciation and amortization.		54		67		74		78		74		113		160		152
Income from operations		3,365		110		1,005		997		3,611		3,757		5,184		8,363
Net income	\$	3,292	\$	147	\$	997	\$	953	\$	3,435	\$	2,915	\$	3,563	\$	8,213
Net income per share	Ψ	5,272	*	11,	Ψ	,,,	Ψ	,,,,	Ψ	5,155	•	2,715	Ψ	5,505	Ψ	0,215
available to common																
stockholders — Basic	\$	0.14	\$	0.01	\$	0.04	\$	0.04	\$	0.15	\$	0.13	\$	0.15	\$	0.34
Net income per share	•		•		•		-		_	****	•		•		-	
available to common																
stockholders — Diluted	\$	0.14	\$	0.01	\$	0.04	\$	0.04	\$	0.14	\$	0.12	\$	0.14	\$	0.29
Weighted average number of	,		,		·		•		·		·		·			
shares outstanding — Basic	2	23,312		23,312		23,311		23,270		23,246		23,272		23,593		24,150
Weighted average		,		,-				,_,		,		,		,		,
number of shares																
outstanding — Diluted	2	23,827		23,822		23,801		23,750		23,725		24,147		26,324		28,507
J		•		,		,		,		·		,		·		·
		rch 31,	J	une 30,	5	Sept. 30,		Dec. 31,	M	larch 31,	•	lune 30,	5	Sept. 30,	1	Dec. 31,
		2006		2006	_	2006	_	2006 (unau		2007 d)	_	2007	_	2007	_	2007
					. ,			,		•					,	
Net revenue		100.0%	6	100.09	%	100.0%	%	100.09	6	100.09	0	100.0%	6	100.0%	6	100.0
Gross profit		26.5		23.7		24.6		20.4		24.9		27.8		31.7		31.2
General and administrative		9.7		10.6		11.2		10.6		10.8		12.7		12.3		9.0
Sales and marketing		6.9		8.8		9.4		6.7		5.8		5.2		6.0		8.8
Rescission and public				• •												
offering costs		_		3.8				0.1		_						
Depreciation and amortization		0.2		0.2		0.3		0.2		0.2		0.3		0.4		0.2
Operating income		9.7	,	0.3		3.7		2.6		8.1		9.6	,	12.9	,	13.2
Net income		9.5%	6	0.49	%	3.7%	%	2.5 %	%	7.7 %	0	7.5%	0	8.9%	o o	13.0
Additional operating metrics:																
				_				- 4	_		_					- 4.
		Marc 200		June 200		Sept. 3 2006		Dec. 31 2006		March 3 2007	l,	June 30, 2007		Sept. 30, 2007		Dec. 31, 2007
			-							dited)	_		- '		_	
Average selling price per order																
(gross)		\$	142		133		24		23		4	\$ 171		\$ 173		
Average orders per day			,901		832	-	87			3,31		2,678		2,699		4,443
Average items sold per day		7	,173		730		293			9,48		8,678		8,814		12,709
Acquisition cost per new buyer (\$).			38		49		51		36		5	38		43		51
Number of new buyers	••••	63	,618	57,	520	49,4	112	70,4	19	58,05	6	53,637	/	55,423		109,833

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Since inception, we have funded our operations through the sale of equity securities and cash generated from operations. The significant components of our working capital are inventory, other current assets, and liquid assets such as cash and accounts receivable, reduced by accounts payable, accrued expenses, and deferred revenue. Our business model contains beneficial working capital characteristics: while we collect cash from sales to customers within several business days of the related sale, we typically have extended payment terms with our suppliers.

As of December 31, 2007, we had a working capital surplus of \$31.1 million and have no long-term debt obligations. Net cash used for operating activities was \$3.2 million in 2007 compared with \$2.4 million in 2006. Net cash is affected primarily by changes in net income and loss, inventories, other current assets, revolving credit line, accounts payable, accrued expenses, and deferred revenue. We recorded net income of \$2.6 million in 2005, \$5.4 million in 2006 and \$18.1 million in 2007. The improvement in profitability resulted primarily from the improved gross profit margins of 21.3%, 23.7%, and 29.1% in 2005, 2006, and 2007 respectively. Inventories, and accounts payable generally increase in line with sales growth and changes in our inventory strategy. Our inventory strategy is influenced by our ability to secure inventory that we believe will improve sales and our gross margins.

The increase in cash used for operating activities in 2007 compared with 2006 was \$779,000. Net income was \$18.1 million in 2007 compared with \$5.4 million in 2006. Inventories increased by \$23.0 million in 2007 compared with an increase of \$18.5 million in 2006. Other current assets increased by \$5.9 million in 2007 compared to an increase of \$1.0 million in 2006. Accounts payable increased by \$4.4 million in 2007 compared to an increase of \$14.7 million in 2006. The increase in inventories and corresponding increases in financing and accounts payable is attributable to our ability to purchase and hold inventory that we believe will improve sales and our gross margins. Accrued expenses increased by \$2.6 million in 2007 compared to an increase of \$178,000 in 2006. Deferred revenue decreased by \$1.0 million in 2007 compared to an increase of \$2.4 million in 2006.

Net cash used for investing activities was \$197,000, \$367,000, and \$1.4 million in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively, and was primarily related to capital expenditures for our office equipment and technology system infrastructure, including hardware and software.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$9.0 million in 2007. Financing was provided by proceeds from the revolving credit line of \$2.0 million, exercise of stock options of \$4.8 million, and tax benefit from exercise of stock options of \$3.2 million net against repurchase of common stock of \$886,000. Net cash used for financing activities was \$3.2 million in 2006, primarily related to proceeds from the revolving line of credit of \$3.9 million net against \$690,000 for the retirement of common stock and \$90,000 for the purchase of treasury stock. There were no financing activities in 2005.

In July 2006, we entered into a revolving credit line agreement with LaSalle Bank (Bank of America) to provide working capital financing secured by inventories and tangible assets. As of December 31, 2007, we have a limit of \$15 million under the loan agreement. All cash receipts from our revenues are restricted to being deposited into a LaSalle Bank account, to be applied to current reported cash disbursements requirements, under a positive pay system, and then to the credit line outstanding balance. The revolving credit line agreement expires in July of 2010. As of December 31, 2007, there was an outstanding balance of \$5.9 million under the revolving credit line and remaining availability of \$7.6 million after deducting reserve of \$1.5 million for minimum required excess availability, which is a reserve of the \$15 million credit line that we cannot utilize. There is an unused fee of 0.15% on the unused portion of the revolving credit line.

We believe that cash and cash equivalents currently on hand, cash flows from operations and availability of the \$15 million revolving line of credit will be sufficient to continue our operations and to pursue our growth strategy for the foreseeable future. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including the rate of our revenue growth; the timing and extent of spending to enhance our website, network infrastructure and transaction processing systems; the extent of our advertising and marketing programs; the levels of the inventory we carry; acquisition and relocation to a new corporate office; and other factors relating to our business. Enhancement of our website, network infrastructure, and transaction processing systems will require us to spend significantly more than we have in the past. Moreover, to date our spending in these areas has been lower than industry averages. We anticipate increasing our system expenditures to accommodate these needs in the future. We may require additional financing in the future in order to execute our operating plan. We cannot predict whether this additional financing will be in the form of equity, debt, or a combination of debt or equity.

Lease Obligations

The following table presents our contractual obligations at December 31, 2007, over the next five years and thereafter (in thousands):

	Total									Af	ter 5
Payments by Period	Amount	2008	2	009	2010	2	110	2	012	Y	ears
Property lease	\$ 2,576	\$ 1,128	\$	987	\$ 173	\$	173	\$	115	\$	

As more fully described in Note 8 to the audited financial statements, we are obligated for the lease of our office and warehouse space.

Impact of Inflation

The effect of inflation and changing prices on our operations was not significant during the periods presented.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and foreign exchange rates. We do not believe that a change in market interest rates would have a material effect on our results of operations or financial condition. Although we derive a portion of our sales outside of the United States, all of our sales are denominated in U.S. dollars. We have limited exposure to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

See Item 15(a)

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Disclosure controls and procedures are the controls and other procedures that we designed to ensure that we record, process, summarize and report in a timely manner the information we must disclose in reports that we file with or submit to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the date of their evaluation, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective. There were no significant changes made in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation.

Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the 1934 Act. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting include those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that our transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with appropriate authorizations; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

We assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control — Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2007. There has been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that occurred during the fourth quarter of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Stonefield Josephson, Inc., an independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting, a copy of which is included in this annual report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2007, that our certifying officers concluded materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on Controls

Management does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and fraud. Any control system, no matter how well designed and operated, is based upon certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that its objectives will be met. Further, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Part III

We have omitted the information required in Part III of this annual report because we intend to include that information in our definitive proxy statement for our 2008 annual meeting of shareholders, which we expect to file before 120 days after December 31, 2007. We incorporate that information in this annual report by reference to our 2008 proxy statement.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement required to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for the 2008 Annual Meeting of our stockholders.

We have adopted a code of conduct that applies to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and to all of our other officers, directors, employees and agents. The code of conduct is available at the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Relations link on our website at www.bidz.com. We intend to disclose future amendments to, or waivers from, certain provisions of our code of ethics on the above website.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement required to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for the 2008 Annual Meeting of our stockholders.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement required to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for the 2008 Annual Meeting of our stockholders.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement required to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for the 2008 Annual Meeting of our stockholders.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Information required by these items is incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement required to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for the 2008 Annual Meeting of our stockholders.

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

The following documents are filed as part of this report:

(a) Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2007
Statements of Operations for the Years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007
Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007
Statements of Cash Flows for the Years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007
Notes to Financial Statements

The second

(b) Financial Statement Schedule

Report Of Stonefield Josephson, Inc. Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Bidz.com, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Bidz.com, Inc. (the "Company") as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2007. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15. These financial statements and the schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Bidz.com, Inc. as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 10, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ Stonefield Josephson, Inc.

Irvine, California March 10, 2008

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Bidz.com, Inc.:

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying "Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting", that Bidz.com, Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Bidz.com, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Bidz.com, Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also, in our opinion, Bidz.com, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the balance sheet and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows of Bidz.com, Inc. as of December 31, 2007 and our report dated March 10, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Stonefield Josephson, Inc.

Irvine, California March 10, 2008

Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except share data)

Assets Current assets: Cash	359 1,930	 2007
Current assets: Cash		
Current assets: Cash		
Cash		
* - * * * 	1 930	\$ 4,808
Inventories, net of reserves of \$461 and \$1,075 at December 31, 2006 and December 31,	1,750	2,275
2007, respectively	34,308	56,686
Other current assets	1,192	7,044
Total current assets	37,789	 70,813
Property and equipment, net	478	1,323
Intangible asset	150	161
Deposits	125	104
Total assets	38,542	\$ 72,401
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Revolving credit line\$	3,941	\$ 5,924
Accounts payable (includes related party amounts of \$4,331 and \$5,050 at December 31,	,	•
2006 and December 31, 2007, respectively)	21,987	26,400
Accrued expenses	1,952	4,509
Deferred revenue	3,935	2,900
Total current liabilities	31,815	39,733
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock: par value \$0.001; authorized 4,000,000 shares; none issued and		
outstanding at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2007, respectively	_	
Common stock: par value \$0.001; authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding		
23,233,904 and 24,556,354 at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2007, respectively	23	25
Additional paid in capital	28,352	36,961
Shares held in treasury, at cost; 15,000 and 100,000 shares at December 31, 2006 and		
December 31, 2007, respectively	(90)	(886)
Accumulated deficit	(21,558)	(3,432)
Total stockholders equity	6,727	 32,668
\$	38,542	\$ 72,401

Statements of Operations

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

• •		Yes	Ended December	ег 31,			
	2005		_	2006		2007	
Net revenue:							
Merchandise sales	\$	90,309	\$	131,687	\$	186,830	
Other revenue		270		136		301	
		90,579		131,823		187,131	
Cost of revenue		71,257		100,633		132,683	
Gross Profit		19,322		31,190		54,448	
Operating expenses:						_	
General and administrative		10,938		13,853		20,458	
Sales and marketing		5,078		10,300		12,578	
Rescission and public offering costs		442		1,287		_	
Depreciation and amortization		157		273		498	
Total operating expenses		16,615		25,713		33,534	
Income from operations		2,707		5,477		20,914	
Other income - interest income		22		80		41	
Other expense - interest (expense)				(48)		(291)	
Income before income tax expense		2,729		5,509		20,664	
Income tax expense		82		120		2,538	
Net income	\$	2,647	\$	5,389	\$	18,126	
Net income per share available to common shareholders - basic	\$	0.11	\$	0.23	\$	0.77	
Net income per share available to common shareholders - diluted	\$	0.11	\$	0.23	\$	0.69	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - basic		24,337,159		23,302,026		23,567,995	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - diluted		24,858,535		23,791,368		26,266,008	

Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

(In thousands)

	Сови	on S	tock	Clas Comm <u>o</u> i		:k	-	dditional paid in	Tr	easury				ımulated	Sto	Totai :kholders'
	Shares		Mount	Shares	A	nount		capital		hares	Сош	ensation		eficit		Equity
Balance at December 31, 2004	11,922	\$	28,388	15,535	\$	426	\$	_	\$	-	\$	(300)	\$	(29,594)	\$	(1,080)
stock to common stock	15,535		426	(15,535)		(426)						_		_		_
Cancellation of common stock Fair value of options granted to	(4,145)			, , ,		` ,										
non-employee consultants Amortization of deferred stock-	_		16			_		_		_		_		_		16
based compensation	_		_			_		_		_		200		_		200
Net income														2,647		2,647
Balance at December 31, 2005 Reincorporation from California (no par value) to Delaware	23,312	S	28,830	_	\$	_	· \$	_	\$	_	\$	(100)	\$	(26,947)	\$	1,783
(\$0.001 par value)	_		(28,807)	_		_		28,807		_		_		_		_
service	37		_	_		_		219				_		_		219
Fair value of options granted to employee	_		_	_				16								16
Retirements of common stock	(115)			_		_		(690)		_		_		_		(690)
Purchase of treasury stock Amortization of deferred	_		_	_		_				' (90)	_		_		(90)
stock-based compensation	_		_	_				_		_		100		_		100
Net income			_	_				_						5,389		5,389
Balance at December 31, 2006 Issuance of common stock to	23,234	\$	23		\$		\$	28,352	\$	(90	\$		\$	(21,558)	\$	6,727
directorsstock from	19		_			_		113		_		_		-		113
exercise of stock options	1,192		2	_				4,770				_		-		4,772
options	_		_			_		3,155				_		_		3,155
Fair value of options granted to employee	_			-		_		488						_		488
employees and directors	126			_		_		173		_		_		_		173
Retirements of common stock	(15)		_	_		_		(90)		90						_
Purchase of treasury stock	_			_				_		(886)	_				(886)
Net income	24,556	\$	25		\$	-	_	36,961	<u>s</u>	(886	\$		\$	(3,432)		18,126 32,668

Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,							
		2005		2006		2007		
Cash flows provided by (used for) operating activities:								
Net income	\$	2,647	\$	5,389	\$	18,126		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating								
activities:								
Depreciation and amortization		157		273		498		
Change in inventory reserve		22		130		614		
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation		200		100		_		
Stock-based compensation		16		235		774		
Changes in assets and liabilities:		10		200				
(Increase) decrease in assets:								
Accounts receivable		(325)		(1,044)		(345)		
Inventories		(5,210)		(18,517)		(22,992)		
Other current assets.		(5,210)		(1,034)		(5,852)		
Deposits		350		115		(3,632)		
		220		113		21		
Increase (decrease) in liabilities:		(1 100)		(5.215)				
Post-dated check financing		(1,188)		(5,315)		4.412		
Accounts payable		2,394		14,690		4,413		
Accrued expenses		669		178		2,557		
Deferred revenue		518		2,358		(1,035)		
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities		199		(2,442)		(3,221)		
Cash flows (used for) investing activities:								
Capital expenditures		(197)		(367)		(1,354)		
Cash flows provided by (used for) financing activities:								
Revolving credit line		_		3,941		1,983		
Retirement of common stock				(690)		· _		
Repurchase of common stock		_		(90)		(886)		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options		_				4,772		
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options		_	•	_		3,155		
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities				3,161		9,024		
Net increase in cash		2		352		4,449		
Cash, beginning of period.		5		7		359		
	•		\$	359	•			
Cash, end of period	\$		2	339	\$	4,808		
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:				,				
Interest paid	\$		\$	48	\$	291		
Income taxes paid	\$	91	\$	323	\$	502		
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:								
Retirement of property and equipment	\$	57	\$	17	\$	293		
				-				

Notes to financial statements

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

Business and Organization:

We were formed in November 1998 as a California corporation and in June 2006, we were re-incorporated as a Delaware corporation with our principal location of business in Los Angeles, California. We operate a website located at www.bidz.com for the purpose of selling merchandise, utilizing our unique online sales auction platform.

We sell our products throughout the United States and in international markets, concentrated mainly in English speaking countries such as Canada, Australia and the United Kingdom. Revenue generated from the United States accounted for approximately 80.8%, 79.2%, and 77.7% of net revenue for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively.

Recent Developments:

We filed a registration statement on Form S-8 with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 29, 2007, in connection with the registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, of 3,400,000 shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, issuable pursuant to the Company's 2001 Stock Option Plan, 2002 Special Stock Option Plan and 2006 Stock Award Plan.

On May 1, 2007 our common stock began trading under the symbol BIDZ on the OTC Bulletin Board and on June 6, 2007 we began trading on the NASDAQ Capital Market.

Use of Estimates:

Preparing financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities. These estimates include certain reserves for inventories, returns and allowances, valuation allowance for deferred taxes, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments:

The carrying amount of our cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, deferred revenue and accrued expenses approximates their estimated fair values as a result of the short-term maturities of those financial instruments.

Cash and Cash Equivalents:

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, cash equivalents include all highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less that do not secure any corporate obligation. We had no cash equivalents at December 31, 2006 or 2007.

Our credit card processor maintains a security interest in substantially all our bank accounts.

Accounts Receivable:

Accounts receivable consist mainly of amounts due from customer credit card and other electronic payments billed but not yet received at period end. We had no allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31, 2006 or 2007.

Return and Allowance Reserve:

We estimate potential future product returns and chargebacks related to current period revenue. We analyze historical returns and changes in customer demand and acceptance of our products when evaluating the adequacy of the sales returns reserve and other allowances in any accounting period. If actual returns are greater than our estimates, we will record additional returns and allowances in addition to our estimates, which will result in lower net revenue recorded during that period. Reserves for returns and charge backs are included in accrued liabilities.

Concentration of Credit Risk:

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and receivables. We derive our accounts receivable primarily from revenue earned from customers located in the United States. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts based upon the expected collectability of accounts receivable. We record an allowance if one is necessary. No customer accounted for more than 10% of revenue or receivables during 2005, 2006, or 2007.

We had a concentration on two merchandise suppliers during 2005, 2006, and 2007, as more fully described in Note 13.

Inventories:

Inventories consist mainly of merchandise purchased for resale and are stated at the lower of first-in, first-out cost (FIFO) or market. We record reserves against our inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the average selling price that is lower than cost of inventory that is held for less than one year. In addition, for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 we recorded reserves for obsolete and slow moving inventory of 100% of the value of inventory held for more than one year. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by us, specific reserves or additional inventory write-downs may be taken.

We recorded in inventories loose diamonds and semi-previous stones that we purchased and delivered to manufacturers to produce into finished jewelry inventory to resell.

We record payments made to our suppliers for purchases we have yet to receive as prepaid inventory until they are in our possession and satisfactorily examined. Prepaid inventory included in inventories is \$3.1 million and \$94,000 at December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively.

Property and Equipment:

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets as follows:

	Years
Computer software	3
Computer hardware	3
Automobile	3
Furniture and equipment	5

Intangible Asset:

Our intangible asset consists of the cost to acquire our domain names, which was \$150,000 and \$161,000 as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively. Our domain names have indefinite lives and therefore are not subject to amortization. We perform an annual evaluation for impairment on the intangible asset. No impairment existed at December 31, 2006 or 2007.

Long-Lived Assets:

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," long-lived assets to be held and used are analyzed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. SFAS No. 144 applies to assets subject to amortization. We review property, equipment, and other long-lived assets subject to amortization for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. We measure recoverability by comparing the assets' carrying amount with future undiscounted net cash flows the assets are expected to generate.

If such assets are considered to be impaired, we measure the impairment to be recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the projected discounted future cash flows arising from the assets or their fair values, whichever is more determinable.

Revenue Recognition:

We derive our revenue from four sources: merchandise sales, shipping charges, auction transaction fees, and other revenue. In accordance with SAB 104, we recognize revenue from all four sources when the following revenue recognition criteria are met: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) the product has been shipped or the service has been rendered and the customer assumes the risk of loss; (3) the selling price is fixed or determinable; and (4) collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured.

We recognize merchandise sales upon shipments made to individual consumers and businesses that are fulfilled from our warehouse. We generally require online payment by credit card or other electronic payment methods at the point of sale. We record amounts received or billed prior to shipment of goods to customers as deferred revenue. We reduce gross sales by returns, charge backs from customers, and other discounts redeemed to obtain such sales.

We present sales tax liability on a net basis in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 06-03. Sales are not grossed up with the attendant taxes and deducted as a separate line item. The EITF becomes effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2006.

We report shipping and handling fees and costs in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") issue No. 00-10, "Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs." As such, in the Statement of Earnings all amounts billed to customers by us related to shipping and handling are included in "Net revenue" and our shipping costs are included in "Cost of revenue."

We include auction transaction fee revenue in net revenue. The 3% auction transaction fee is applied to all merchandise sales, including shipping and handling, but not to sales tax.

Other revenue includes commissions and advertising revenue. We derive commission revenue from sales of Certified Merchant merchandise that is owned by third parties and recognize that revenue when services have been rendered. For commission revenue, we recognize as revenue only the commission portion of the price our customers pay for the purchased products because we are acting as an agent in such transactions. Commissions are also reduced by the impact of returns and other discounts redeemed to obtain such sales. We recognize advertising revenue from allowing other companies to advertise on our web site when the services are rendered and the advertising revenue is known and collectible.

Outbound shipping charges to customers are included in merchandise sales and amounted to \$9.4 million, \$15.1 million, and \$22.6 million in 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively.

Cost of Revenue:

Cost of revenue includes product costs, inbound and outbound shipping charges, packaging and shipping supplies, insurance on shipments, product repair and service costs, and credit card and other electronic payment fees, and is recorded in the same period in which related revenue have been recorded.

Outbound shipping costs on merchandise sales totaled \$5.0 million, \$6.9 million, and \$9.7 million in 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively.

Deferred Revenue:

Deferred revenue liability is recorded for sales transactions where the products had not yet been shipped.

Income Tax Expense:

We account for income taxes using an asset and liability approach, which requires the recognition of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. The measurement of current and deferred tax assets and liabilities is based on provisions of enacted tax laws; the effects of future changes in tax laws or rates are not anticipated. If necessary, the measurement of deferred tax assets is reduced by the amount of any tax benefits that are not expected to be realized based on available evidence.

Stock-Based Compensation:

Prior to January 1, 2006, we measured compensation expense to employees for our stock option incentive plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. Utilizing the intrinsic value method prescribed by APB No. 25, we did not record compensation expense when stock options were granted to eligible participants as long as the exercise price was not less than the fair market value of the stock when the option was granted.

We recorded stock-based compensation representing the difference between the option exercise price and the deemed fair value of our common stock on the grant date for financial reporting purposes. We determined the deemed fair value of our common stock based upon the most recent third-party sale of our common stock known to us. Had different assumptions or criteria been used to determine

the deemed fair value of our common stock, different amounts of stock-based compensation could have been reported.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure," which amended SFAS No. 123, we disclosed pro forma net income and net income per share as if the fair value based method had been applied in measuring compensation expense. The disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148 were effective for financial statements for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. We adopted the disclosure requirements in the first quarter of 2003. The fair values of options and shares issued pursuant to our option plan at each grant date were estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Because there has been no public market for our common stock, we used the minimum value method in determining the expected volatility of our common stock was zero. The transition provisions did not have an impact on our financial position or results of operations as we did not elect to adopt the fair value-based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation under SFAS No. 123.

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R") requiring that share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements based on the fair value of the equity or liability instrument issued. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, we used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the value of our options in implementing SFAS 123R and the calculated method to determine expected volatility, rather than the minimum value method, which we previously used to determine expected volatility. Under SFAS 123R stock-based compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of the portion of stock-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest. Accordingly, stock-based compensation expense recognized in the statements of income will be reduced for estimated forfeitures, which is none or 0% for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007. SFAS 123R requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. In the proforma information required under SFAS 148 for the period prior to 2006, we did not account for forfeitures, as all options granted were fully vested at the date of grant and were not subject to any service period conditions. We expensed stock-based compensation on the date of grant, as all options granted prior to January 1, 2006, vested immediately. Stock-based compensation subsequent to January 1, 2006 will include vesting provisions and therefore stock-based compensation expense is reduced for estimated forfeitures.

We adopted SFAS 123R using the modified prospective method which requires the application of the accounting standard as of January 1, 2006. Under this transition method, we are required to recognize compensation cost beginning January 1, 2006 for the portion of outstanding awards for which the requisite service has been rendered, based on the grant-date fair value of those awards calculated under SFAS 123 for our pro forma disclosures. In accordance with the modified prospective method, the financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123R.

The pro forma information for the years ended December 31, 2005 required under SFAS No. 123 was as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and income per share if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to stock-based employee compensation (in thousands, except per share data).

		2005
Net income, as reported	\$	2,647
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under SFAS 123, fair value based method, net of relaxed tax effects	<u>\$</u>	<u>(785</u>) 1,862
Net Income per share Basic — as reported	•	0.11
Basic — pro forma	\$	0.11
Diluted — as reported	-	0.07
Diluted — pro forma	Þ	0.07

The fair value for these options under SFAS 123, fair value based method, was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the assumptions discussed in Note 9.

Disclosures for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 are not presented as the amounts are recognized in the financial statements in accordance with SFAS 123R, as discussed above.

Internal Use Software:

Pursuant to SOP 98-1, we expense all costs incurred for the development of internal use software that relate to the planning and post-implementation phases of the development and certain maintenance and enhancement costs. We capitalize and amortize direct costs incurred in the application development phase over the software's estimated useful life of three years. The capitalized software costs of \$250,000 was incurred from 2002 to 2004, and have been amortized since placed in use. At December 31, 2006 and 2007, the net unamortized balance included in Property and Equipment is \$80,000 and \$0, respectively. The capitalized software costs of \$250,000 was retired in the year ended December 31, 2007 when it was determined that the software was no longer in use. We expense research and development costs and other computer software maintenance costs related to software development as incurred.

Basic and Diluted Income Per Share:

In accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share," we compute the basic income per common share by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. We compute diluted income per common share similarly to basic income per common share, except that we increase the denominator to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the potential common shares had been issued and if the additional common shares were dilutive. At December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007, we had 8,342,000 shares, 8,353,000 shares, and 8,131,300 shares, respectively, of common stock equivalents upon the exercise of the employee and non-employee stock options. These common stock equivalents consisted of 7,715,000 employee stock options and 627,000 non-employee stock options as of December 31, 2005; 7,726,000 employee stock options and 627,000 non-employee stock options and 627,000 non-employee stock options and 52,000 non-employee stock options as of December 31, 2005, dilutive common stock equivalents totaled 521,376, consisting of 305,251 employee stock options and 216,125 non-employee stock options. As of December 31, 2006, dilutive common stock equivalents totaled 489,342 consisting of 291,716 employee stock options and 197,626 non-employee stock options. As of December 31, 2007, dilutive common stock equivalents totaled 2,698,013 consisting of 2,682,069 employee stock options and 15,944 non-employee stock options.

The dilutive effect of outstanding stock options is reflected in diluted earnings per share by application of the treasury stock method for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands, except share and per share data):

	2005			2006		2007	
Basic and diluted net income per share:							
Numerator:							
Net income	\$	2,647	\$	5,389	\$	18,126	
Denominator:							
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding	24,337,159		23,302,026		23	23,567,995	
Effect of dilutive securities		521,376		489,342	2	,698,013	
Denominator for diluted calculation	24,	858,535	23,	791,368	26	,266,008	
Net income per share, basic	\$	0.11	\$	0.23	\$_	0.77	
Net income per share, diluted	\$	0.11	\$	0.23	\$	0.69	

Advertising Expense:

We recognize advertising expenses in accordance with SOP 93-7, "Reporting on Advertising Costs." As such, we expense the costs of producing advertisements at the time production occurs and we expense the cost of communicating advertisements in the period during which the advertising space or airtime is used. We recognize Internet advertising expenses based on the terms of the individual agreements, which are generally (1) during the period customers are acquired; (2) based on the number of clicks generated during a given period over the term of the contract; or (3) based on the number of e-mails sent during an e-mail distribution campaign. Advertising expenses totaled \$5.1 million, \$10.3 million, and \$12.6 million during the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively.

Reclassification:

Certain amounts from prior years have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation.

Rescission and Public Offering Costs:

These costs consist primarily of regulatory filing fees, accountant fees, legal fees and printing expenses incurred in the planned initial public offering, which amounted to \$442,000 and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2006, respectively. Costs incurred in 2005 relate primarily to rescission related costs of the planned initial public offering whereas costs incurred in 2006 relate to the registration statement of the planned initial public offering. The capitalized costs of the rescission and initial public offering were expensed when the Company determined it was unable to complete the offering and subsequently requested withdrawal of the registration statement.

New Accounting Pronouncements:

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159 ("FAS 159") which expanded FAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements," which defines fair value, establishes guidelines for measuring fair value and expands disclosures regarding fair value measurements. FAS 159 does not require any new fair value measurements but rather eliminates inconsistencies in guidance found in various prior accounting pronouncements. FAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The adoption of FAS 159 does not have a material impact on our financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement SFAS No. 157 ("FAS 157"), "Fair Value Measurements," which defines fair value, establishes guidelines for measuring fair value and expands disclosures regarding fair value measurements. FAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but rather eliminates inconsistencies in guidance found in various prior accounting pronouncements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FAS 109" ("FIN 48") which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in accordance with FAS 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." FIN 48 is a comprehensive model for how a company should recognize, measure, present, and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions that the company has taken or expects to take on a tax return. If an income tax position exceeds a more likely than not (greater than 50%) probability of success upon tax audit, the company will recognize an income tax benefit or expense in its financial statements. Additionally, companies are required to accrue interest and related penalties, if applicable, on all tax exposures consistent with jurisdictional tax laws. This interpretation is effective as of January 1, 2007. The adoption of this statement does not have a material impact on our financial statements.

We present sales tax liability on a net basis in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 06-03. Sales are not grossed up with the attendant taxes and deducted as a separate line item. The EITF becomes effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2006.

(2) Inventories:

Inventories consist of the following at December 31, 2006 and 2007 (in thousands):

	 2006	2007		
Fine jewelry, watches and other	\$ 29,511	\$	53,054	
Loose diamonds and semi-precious stones	2,133		4,392	
Prepaid inventory	3,125		94	
Inventory with manufacturing vendors	_		221	
Product inventories	34,769		57,761	
Less: Inventory reserve	 (461)		(1,075)	
•	\$ 34,308	\$	56,686	

As of December 31, 2006 and 2007, all inventories have been pledged as collateral for our revolving credit line with LaSalle Bank (Bank of America).

(3) Other Current Assets:

Other current assets consist of the following at December 31, 2006 and 2007 (in thousands):

	2006		 2007
Co-op marketing contributions receivable	\$		\$ 2,873
Deferred tax benefit			2,250
Employee advances		_	1,086
Prepayments and other current assets		1,192	835
• •	\$	1,192	\$ 7,044

(4) Property and Equipment:

Property and equipment consists of the following at December 31, 2006 and 2007 (in thousands):

		2006	2007		
Computer hardware and software	\$	581	\$	1,608	
Furniture and equipment		262		507	
Internal developed software		250		_	
Automobile		_		27	
		1,093		2,142	
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization		615		820	
•	\$	478	\$	1,322	

Depreciation and amortization expense of property and equipment totaled \$157,000, \$273,000 and \$498,000 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively.

As of December 31, 2006 and 2007, all property and equipment have been pledged as collateral for our revolving credit line with LaSalle Bank (Bank of America).

(5) Revolving Credit Line:

In July 2006, we entered into a revolving credit line agreement with LaSalle Bank (Bank of America), to provide working capital financing secured by inventories and tangible assets. The agreement allowed for us to initially draw on a line of credit up to \$10.0 million, with a provision to increase the limit up to \$15.0 million with the future consent of the bank's loan committee. During the first quarter of 2007 we successfully increased the limit to \$15.0 million. All cash receipts from our revenues are restricted to being deposited into a LaSalle Bank account, to be applied to current reported cash disbursements requirements, under a positive pay system, and then to the credit line outstanding balance. The revolving credit line agreement expires in July of 2010. As of December 31, 2007, there was an outstanding balance of \$5.9 million under the revolving credit line and remaining availability of \$7.6 million after deducting a reserve of \$1.5 million for minimum required excess availability which is a reserve of the \$15.0 million credit line that we cannot utilize. The interest rate on the revolving credit line outstanding as of December 31, 2007 is 7.25% per annum. There is an unused fee of 0.25% on the unused portion of the revolving credit line.

Day-to-day drawdowns on our credit line are subject to the prevailing prime rate. The interest is calculated on the average outstanding balance and compound monthly. As of December 31, 2007 our effective interest rate was 7.25%. We have the option to extended term advances, for 30, 60, or 90 days that are subject to LIBOR rates. The rates will be a certain percentage above the LIBOR rates and will vary with the maturity term and amount borrowed. Interest is calculated over the stated term at an annual rate. As of December 31, 2007 we had no advances under LIBOR.

Under the terms and conditions of the revolving credit line agreement, the Company is subject to certain restrictive covenants including the following restrictive financial covenants:

Minimum TTM EBITDA: Actual Trailing Twelve Month (TTM) EBITDA compliance is measured on a monthly basis, and shall not vary negatively from the Dollar amount for planned LTM EBITDA, set forth in an agreed upon schedule, for the applicable period, and is subject to resetting in Lender's discretion based upon a business plan for subsequent periods acceptable to Lender.

Capital Expenditures: Borrower shall not make capital expenditures in excess of an agreed upon amount for each fiscal year covered by the loan agreement. All capital expenditure limits are subject to resetting on an annual basis at the Lender's discretion based upon a business plan for subsequent periods acceptable to the Lender.

Minimum Excess Availability: We are to maintain an Excess Availability of \$1.5 million with a Maximum Revolver Amount of \$15.0 million. This covenant is subject to resetting at the Lender's discretion based upon a business plan for subsequent periods acceptable to Lender.

(6) Accounts Payable:

During the years ending December 31, 2006 and 2007 we employ "positive pay" procedures with our financial institution by transmitting check disbursement information to the financial institution to allow for our review and approval of exception transaction prior to them being posted to our account.

(7) Accrued Expenses:

ndepc ar

Accrued expenses consist of the following at December 31, 2006 and 2007 (in thousands):

		2006	 2007
Merchandise purchases	\$	115	\$ _
Accrued payroll and other related costs		920	1,451
Accrued net sales returns		164	346
Other accrued expenses		610	2,367
Accrued marketing expenses		143	345
	\$	1,952	\$ 4,509

(8) Commitments:

The following table presents our contractual obligations at December 31, 2007, over the next five years and thereafter (in thousands):

	Total						After 5
Payments by Period	Amount	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Years
Property lease	\$ 2,576	\$ 1.128	\$ 987	\$ 173	\$ 173	\$ 115	<u>s</u> —

We lease a 50,000 square-foot office and warehouse and a separate 12,000 square-foot warehouse space in Culver City, California. The leases expires in October 2009 and August 2012, respectively. We are obligated to pay real estate taxes, insurance, and maintenance expenses. We expect that, in the normal course of business, the lease will be renewed or replaced by leases on other properties upon termination. Thus, we anticipate that future minimum lease commitments will likely be more than the amounts shown for 2007. Rental expenses for operating leases totaled \$885,000, \$908,000, and \$995,000 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively.

(9) Stockholders' Equity:

Delaware Reincorporation

On June 22, 2006 the Company reincorporated in the state of Delaware. In connection with our reincorporation in Delaware, we are authorized to issue 100,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value, and 4,000,000 shares of undesignated preferred stock, \$0.001 par value.

Preferred Stock

Our certificate of incorporation authorizes our Board of Directors, without any vote or action by the holders of our common stock, to issue preferred stock from time to time in one or more series. Our Board of Directors will be authorized to determine the number of shares and to fix the designations, powers, preferences, and the relative, participating, optional, or other rights of any series of preferred stock. Depending upon the terms of preferred stock established by our Board of Directors, any or all series of preferred stock could have preference over the common stock with respect to dividends and other distributions and upon our liquidation. If any shares of preferred stock are issued with voting powers, the voting power of the outstanding common stock would be diluted. No shares of preferred stock are presently outstanding, and we have no present intention to issue any shares of preferred stock.

Common Stock

The holders of common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by stockholders. There will be no cumulative voting. Subject to preferences that may be applicable to any outstanding preferred stock, holders of common stock will be entitled to receive ratably such dividends as may be declared by our Board of Directors out of funds legally available for that purpose. In the event of the liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of our company, the holders of common stock will be entitled to share ratably in all assets remaining after payment of liabilities and the liquidation preferences of any outstanding preferred stock. The common stock has no preemptive or conversion rights, other subscription rights, or redemption or sinking fund provisions.

No dividends have been declared or paid on our common stock through December 31, 2007.

In 2007, common stock shares totaling 1,192,700 were issued from the exercise of stock options and 15,000 shares held in treasury were retired. Common stock shares totaling 18,750 were issued to independent board members. Restricted common stock awards totaling 110,000 shares were issued to independent board members, and 16,000 shares were issued to employees. Restricted common stock awards outstanding and yet to be vested totaled 126,000 shares as of December 31, 2007.

In 2006, common stock shares totaling 20,000 were issued to independent board members. 15,000 shares were issued to an employee, and 1,404 shares were issued to a non-employee for services rendered. We repurchased and retired 115,000 shares from the Chief Financial Officer and we repurchased 15,000 shares from an employee that is held in Treasury.

In 2005, David Zinberg surrendered 4,144,689 shares of common stock for cancellation. Also in 2005, David Zinberg and Marina Zinberg converted all their Class B common stock to common stock and after the abovementioned cancellation they own 3,542,343 and 7,707,657 shares of common stock respectively.

Deferred Stock-Based Compensation

In connection with certain stock grants to two employees during the year ended December 31, 2001, we recognized \$2.0 million in deferred stock-based compensation for the deemed fair value of common stock at the date of the grant. We include such amounts as a reduction of stockholders' equity (deficit). We amortize such amounts over the vesting period of five years in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 28, "Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans."

Amortization expenses totaled \$200,000, \$100,000, and \$0 during the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively. As of December 31, 2007, all existing deferred stock-based compensation grants were fully vested and all associated costs had been fully amortized.

To meet the statutory obligation for the applicable income and other employment taxes, 115,000 common shares were surrendered back to us in 2006 and a net-share settlement was effected. Based on a fair market value of \$6.00 per share on the vesting date as determined based on a combination of factors that include recent share transactions between shareholders and an independent valuation of the Company, the employee's tax obligations to the taxing authorities were approximately \$690,000. The net-share settlement had the effect of share repurchases by us as it reduced and retired the number of shares outstanding and did not represent an expense to the Company. The employee received no cash proceeds and taxes were paid directly to the taxing authorities.

(10) Stock Option Plans:

We adopted our 2001 Stock Option Plan, our 2002 Special Stock Option Plan and our 2006 Award Plan (collectively, the "Plans"), in January 2001, January 2002, and March 2006, respectively. The Plans provide for the granting of awards in the form of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, shares of restricted common stock, bonus stock in lieu of obligations, or other stock-based awards to employees, directors, and independent contractors who provide valuable services to our company. The vesting requirements, performance thresholds and other terms and conditions of options granted under the Plans will be determined by our Compensation Committee and Board of Directors. Outstanding options all vest immediately prior to 2006 and have 25% vesting at the end of each year over four years for options granted in 2006 and 2007. Options generally have an expiration period of five years and new common stock shares are issued upon the exercise of stock options. At December 31, 2007, no shares were available for future grants under the Plans.

The following is a summary of stock option activity as of December 31, 2007, and changes during the year ended December, 2007:

Options	Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life of Contract(Years)	Aggregate Instrinsic Value as of 12/31/07
Oustanding at January 1, 2007	8,353,000	\$ 5.64		_
Granted	1,000,000	8.23		
Exercised	(1,192,700)	4.00		
Forfeited		_		
Expired	(29,000)	6.00		
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	8,131,300	\$ 6.20	3.33	\$ 22,983,000
Exercisable at December 31, 2007	7,045,050	\$ 5.92	3.16	\$ 21,516,000

During the year ended December 31, 2007, we issued 1,000,000 stock options to purchase shares in our common stock. During 2007, 1,192,700 stock options were exercised and the recognized tax benefit related thereto is \$3.2 million. The total fair value of options exercised during 2007 was \$9.5 million. As of December 31, 2007, stock options to purchase 1,086,250 shares were not fully vested. Stock-based compensation cost from stock options for the year ended December 31, 2007, was \$488,000. We recognize compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for each stock option grant. The stock options were granted at prices equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant and have a four year vesting period with 25% vesting on each subsequent anniversary of the grant date. The stock options expire 5 years from the grant date.

The following is a summary of stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007:

	2005			200		2007			
	Shares	A E	eighted verage xercise Price	Shares	A E	eighted verage xercise Price	Shares	A E	eighted verage xercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	7,728,500	\$	5.59	8,342,000	\$	5.63	8,353,000	\$	5.64
Granted	703,000		6.00	235,000		6.00	1,000,000		8.23
Exercised			_	_		_	1,192,700)		4.00
Canceled / forfeited	(90,000)		4.83	(224,000)		5.45	(29,000)		6.00
Outstanding at year-end	8,342,000	\$	5.63	8,353,000	\$	5.64	8,131,300	\$	6.20
Options exercisable at year-end	8,342,000	\$	5.63	8,238,000	\$	5.64	7,045,050	\$	5.92

The weighted-average grant date fair value of options granted during 2005, 2006, and 2007 was \$1.14, \$2.40, and \$2.59 per share, respectively.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2007:

Options Outstanding and Exercisable as of December 31, 2007

					Options Outstanding			Options Ex	erci	sable
		nge of se Prices	l <u> </u>	Number Outstanding 12/31/2007	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual		Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable 12/31/2007		Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$	4.01	\$	5.00	395,000	0.93	\$	4.50	395,000	\$	4.50
\$	5.01	\$	6.00	6,736,300	3.32	\$	6.00	6,650,050	\$	6.00
\$	6.01	\$	7.00	490,000	4.18	\$	6.50			_
S	9.01	\$	10.00	510,000	4.45	\$	9.90	_		-
\$	4.01	\$	10.00	8,131,300	3.33	\$	6.20	7,045,050	\$	5.92

Stock Option Compensation

In connection with certain stock option grants to employees, consisting of 678,500, 235,000, and 1,000,000 options during the years ended December 31, 2005, and 2006, and 2007, respectively, we recognized approximately \$0, \$16,000, and \$488,000, respectively, of employee stock-option compensation. The unamortized stock-based compensation expense as of December 31, 2006 and 2007 is \$260,000 and \$2.4 million, respectively.

Options granted in 2006 and 2007 were valued in accordance with SFAS 123R. The fair value of these options was calculated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions: (1) risk-free interest rates of 4.3% to 5.0%, (2) an expected life of four to five years, (3) expected volatility of 31% to 37%, (4) expected forfeitures of 0%, and (5) a dividend yield of 0%. Because there was no public market for our common stock in 2006 and limited trading history at the time of grant in 2007, and in accordance with SFAS 123R, we used the calculated method to determine volatility. Prior to June 15, 2007, we had estimated the expected life to be equal to the option period and and from June 15, 2007, we had estimated the expected life to be equal to the vesting period.

Options granted in 2005 were valued for the excess of deemed fair value of shares of common stock subject to such options over the exercise price of these options at the grant date in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees.".

During the year ended December 31, 2005, we granted 25,000 options to a consultant and non-employee. We recorded stock option compensation expense of \$16,000 related to the grant in 2005. The fair value for the options was measured on the grant date. At December 31, 2005, the fair value of these options was calculated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions: (1) risk-free interest rates of 4.0%, (2) an expected life of three years, (3) expected volatility of 0.01%, and (4) a dividend yield of 0%. Because there was no public market for our common stock, we used the minimum value method to determine volatility.

Restricted Shares

We began issuing restricted stock awards in 2007, pursuant to the 2006 Award Plan. The restricted stock awards were issued as time-based awards, which vest typically with 25% vesting at the end of each year over four years, or earlier if there is an acceleration event. The retricted stock awards are expensed pro rata over the vesting period based upon the market value of the awards at the time of

grant. Stock-based compensation from the issuance of common stock and restricted stock awards totaled \$286,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 and restricted common stock awards outstanding and yet to be vested totaled 126,000 shares as of December 31, 2007. No restricted shares were vested during 2007 and the total fair vaue of shares vested was \$0. The unamortized stock-based expense for restricted stock awards was \$1.1 million as of December 31, 2007.

A summary of all restricted stock activity during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 follows:

Restricted shares outstanding, January 1, 2006	
Restricted shares outstanding, December 31, 2006	126,000
Restricted shares outstanding, December 31, 2007	126,000

(11) Income Tax Expense:

Income tax expense amounted to \$120,000 and \$2.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 (an effective rate of 2.2% for 2006 and 12.3% for 2007). A reconciliation of the provision (benefit) for income tax expense with amounts determined by applying the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate to income before income tax expense is as follows (in thousands):

	2005			2005 2006		
Computed tax benefit at federal statutory rate of 34%	\$	928	\$	1,873	\$	7,026
State taxes, net federal tax benefits		162		364		347
Meals and entertainment		22		26		15
Limitation on executive compensation—section 162 (m)		_		387		_
Change in valuation allowance, net of adjustment of net						
operating loss		(1,078)		(2,535)		(4,850)
Minimum tax credit				108		· —
Excess benefit of vested stock		_		(170)		_
Other		48		67		_
Total income tax provision	\$	82	\$	120	\$	2,538

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Significant deferred tax assets and liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	2006	2007
Deferred Tax Assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	4,499	
Employee stock options	912	304
Inventory costs	197	1,309
Minimum tax credit carryforwards	233	28
Accrued expenses	79	157
Other reserves and allowances	70	258
Excess benefit of vested stock	199	
Deferred revenue	_	351
State taxes	_	18
Other (fixed assets)	35	96
Total Deferred Tax Assets	6,224	2,521
Deferred Tax Liability:		
State taxes	(324)	
Inventory costs	(/	(271)
Total Deferred Tax Liabilities		(271)
Valuation Allowance	(5,900)	_
Net Deferred Tax Assets		2,250

As a result of our 2007 operating results, we utilized all Federal and California tax net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$10.7 million and \$9.8 million, respectively. We removed the valuation allowance from our net deferred tax assets. It is likely the tax benefits will be realized in the future.

The tax benefits from the expense in 2007 for share based compensation awards that will result in future tax deduction are included in deferred tax assets. The actual tax deduction exceeds the compensation cost recognized by the company for financial reporting purposes. The excess tax benefits increased the company's additional paid in capital by \$3.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, as required by FAS 123R.

We adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, on January 1, 2007. Previously, we had accounted for tax contingencies in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 5, Accounting for Contingencies. As required by Interpretation 48, which clarifies Statement 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, we recognize the financial statement benefit of a tax position only after determining that the relevant tax authority would more likely than not sustain the position following an audit. For tax positions meeting the more-likely-than-not threshold, the amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relevant tax authority. At the adoption date, we applied Interpretation 48 to all tax positions for which the statute of limitations remained open. Our analysis of the implementation of Interpretation 48 reveals that there is no material effect on our financial statements.

We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and California. Tax regulations within each jurisdiction are subject to the interpretation of the related tax laws and regulations and require significant judgment to apply. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S. federal and California examinations by tax authorities for the years before 2003.

We are under examination by the Internal Revenue Service for the 2004, 2005 and 2006 tax years. The examination was concluded as of December 31, 2007 and final settlement is expected to be approximately \$35,000, including interest and penalties.

(12) Segment Information:

Net revenue by geographic area is presented based upon the country of destination. No foreign country accounted for 10% or more of net revenue for any of the fiscal years presented. Net revenue by geographic area was as follows for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007 (in thousands):

	2005			2006	 2007
United States	\$	73,199	\$	104,338	\$ 145,412
International		17,380		27,485	 41,719
Total	\$	90,579	\$	131,872	\$ 187,131

Long-lived assets include property and equipment and intangible assets that resided in the United States during the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007.

(13) Concentrations:

Purchases of merchandise from one of our top two vendors, which is a related party (see Note 15), constituted 37.1%, 26.4%, and 11.9% of total merchandise purchased by us in the years ending December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively. Purchases of merchandise from our top two vendors amounted to \$27.8 million, \$34.4 million, and \$40.4 million representing 42.1%, 31.1%, and 24.0%, respectively, of the total purchases during the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively.

Outstanding accounts payable to our top two vendors totaled \$4.5 million and \$6.2 million as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively. Loss of either of these vendors will not have a negative impact on our operations.

(14) Contingencies:

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. Although the Company believes it has defenses to the allegations and intends to pursue them vigorously, currently, management does not currently have sufficient information to assess the validity of the claims or the amount of potential damages. Company management currently believes, however, that resolution of such legal matters will not have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Prior Sales of Securities

From our inception, in 1999, and in 2000, 2001, 2002, and mid-2003, we raised \$20.5 million in gross equity capital offerings by selling our common stock in the following approximate amounts for each year:

Year	Number ofShares	Weighted Ave. Price per Share				
1999	978,070	\$	4.00			
2000	1,198,191	\$	4.00			
2001	580,621	\$	4.47			
2002	1,051,924	\$	5.00			
2003	753,262	\$	5.26			

We sold these shares to approximately 830 investors. The persons associated with us who solicited these investors were not icensed as broker-dealers in any state or with the SEC.

We also issued to approximately 30 persons or entities shares of common stock in exchange for services and other non-cash consideration valued in excess of \$5.5 million in the following approximate amounts for each year:

Year	Number of Shares	Weighted Ave. Pric				
1999	57,500	\$	4.00			
2000	100,000	\$	4.00			
2001	585,700	\$	4.09			
2002	445,774	\$	5.00			
2003	18,673	\$	5.60			
2004	28,544	\$	5.00			

We also issued to approximately 248 employees or other service providers options to purchase common stock in the following amounts for each year:

Year	Number of Options	Exercise Price			
2002	1,332,000	\$	3.75		
2003	485,500	\$	4.50		
2004	6,162,000	\$	6.00		

Some of these options have been exercised and all options issued in 2002 have been exercised.

These offers and sales of the common stock and options to purchase common stock were not registered under the federal and state securities laws, but were issued in reliance upon exemptions from such registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated thereunder. Similarly, in employing persons who were not licensed as broker-dealers, we relied on certain exemptions from the broker-dealer licensing requirements of the Exchange Act and of state securities laws.

After conducting these offers and sales of common stock and granting these options to purchase common stock, we determined that the offers and sales did not comply with the exemptions from registration under the Securities Act and similar provisions of laws in each state in which our stockholders reside, because certain of our employees who solicited investors engaged in a "general solicitation" of securities. In addition, these employees were required to be licensed as broker-dealers with the SEC and various states, but they were not so licensed. We also determined that because investors did not receive information regarding our potential liability for these violations of federal and state securities laws, the common stock was issued to persons who failed to receive adequate information under federal and state securities laws. In such situations a number of remedies may be available to regulatory authorities and the investors who purchased common stock in those offerings, including, without limitation, a right of rescission, civil penalties, seizure of our assets, a restraining order or injunction, and a court order to pay restitution and costs.

After voluntarily reporting these violations to the SEC, the SEC staff advised us that it would not undertake an investigation in the matter. However, we have not approached state securities commissioners in the states in which our investors reside. Consequently, there is substantial uncertainty concerning what action the state regulators may take against us and what effect any such action will have on our business.

The probability that stockholders would assert a claim against us and the extent of the our liability, if any, are contingent on a number of factors, including a stockholder's desire to rescind his or her purchase based on the price of our rescission offer and the key purchase price of the tender for shares, applicable legal precedent, and statutes of limitation. Based on current facts known to

management at this time, management does not believe that assertion of any such claims by the Company's shareholders in a material amount is probable. Accordingly, no accruals for either potential amounts payable or reserves have been made at this time.

Cartier v. Bidz.com

We are a defendant in a lawsuit filed by Cartier, and Cartier International, B.V., in the United States District Court Southern District of New York in April 2006. The lawsuit alleges that Cartier has proprietary rights, including trade dress, patents, trademarks, copyrights and others, in watch designs known as a Tank, Tank Francaise, Tank Americaine, Panthere and Pasha De Cartier Grille, and Tank Devan, and further alleges that we have infringed upon those rights by selling watches bearing copies of one or more of the watch designs. We intend to defend the lawsuit vigorously. We do not believe the outcome of the case will have a materially adverse effect on our financial position. The court has yet to set a trial date.

(15) Related Party Transactions:

We purchased approximately 37.1%, 26.4%, and 11.9% of our merchandise in 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively, from LA Jewelers, where one of its managers is a stockholder that beneficially owns 1,228,000 shares of our common stock as of December 31, 2006 (see Note 13). LA Jewelers participates in our inventory co-op marketing program. For the year ended December 31, 2007 we earned \$522,000 from LA Jewelers relating to co-op marketing contributions and \$379,000 was unamortized as of December 30, 2007.

In May, 2007 we entered into an understanding with LA Jewelers whereby they will guarantee that we will earn a certain minimum gross profit margin on their merchandise. This agreement provides that in the event of a gross profit margin shortfall we will be compensated for the difference between our actual gross profit margin and the guaranteed minimum gross profit margin. For the year ended December 31, 2007, \$427,000 was recognized under this agreement and as of December 31, 2007, \$129,000 was outstanding and accrued under this gross profit margin guarantee.

Marina Zinberg, who is a Vice President and owns 31.3% of our outstanding shares, is the sister of David Zinberg, our President and Chief Executive Officer. During 2007 we paid Ms. Zinberg a salary of \$100,000. Ms. Zinberg, was formerly our corporate secretary and treasurer, reports to David Zinberg.

(16) Subsequent Events:

Bank of America has approved an increased in our revolving credit line to \$25 million in February 2008.

The Board of Directors, in February 2008, has authorized an increase in the stock buyback program through the open market to \$20 million from \$5 million of its outstanding common stock. The stock buyback will be at prices deemed appropriate by management over a 24 month period. As of February 2008, we have repurchased 38,270 shares in January 2008 for \$291,000 and 100,000 shares in December 2007 for \$886,000

We have been informed that the beneficial ownership of our common stock by the manager of LA Jewelers, who previously held 1,228,000 shares of our common stock, has fallen below 5% (see Note 13). Accordingly, we will not report our transactions with LA Jewelers as a related party in future filings until such time as the manager's beneficial ownership, or ownership in a group, exceeds 5%.

(b) Financial Statement Schedules:

Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

(in thousands)

Description		Balance at the Beginning of the Year		Charged to Revenue, Cost, or Expenses		Deductions		ance at the nd of the Year
Reserve deducted from asset to which it applies:								
Year ended December 31, 2006								
Reserve for inventory	\$	331	\$	259	\$	(129)	\$	461
Reserve for sales returns		123		300		(259)		164
Reserve for chargebacks		30				(5)		25
Year ended December 31, 2007								
Reserve for inventory	\$	461 -	\$	675	\$	(61)	\$	1,075
Reserve for sales returns		164		970		(788)		346
Reserve for chargebacks		25		75		· —		100

יטון ניין.

(c) Exhibits

Exhibit

The following exhibits are incorporated by reference or filed herewith

Number	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 15, 2006, by and between Bidz.com, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and Bidz.com, Inc., a California corporation (1)			
2.1				
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant (2)			
3.2	Bylaws of the Registrant (3)			
10.1	2001 Stock Option Plan(4)			
10.2	2002 Stock Option Plan(5)			
10.3	2006 Stock Award Plan (6)			
10.4	Employment Agreement, dated as of June 6, 2006, between Bidz.com, Inc. and David Zinberg (7)			
10.4.1	Employment Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2002, between Bidz.com, Inc. and David Zinberg (8)			
10.5	Employment Agreement, dated as of June 6, 2006, between Bidz.com, Inc. and Lawrence Y. Kong (9)			
10.6	Stock Vesting Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2001, between Bidz.com, Inc. and Lawrence Y. Kong (10)			
10.7	Form of Indemnification Agreement between Bidz.com, Inc. and each officer and director (11)			
10.7.1	Indemnification Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2002, between Bidz.com, Inc. and David Zinberg (12)			
10.8	Lease, between Bidz.com, Inc. and PAS Trust, dated as of April 15, 2003, and Addendum No. 2, dated as of March 3, 2004(13)			
10.9	Client Service Agreement dated January 2, 2006 between Bidz.com, Inc. and Administaff Companies II, L.P. (14)			
10.10	Loan and Security Agreement by Bidz.com, Inc. and LaSalle Retail Finance, dated July 12, 2006 (15)			
10.11	Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2007 between Bidz.com, Inc. and Leon Kuperman (16)			
10.12	Employment Agreement, dated as of February 21, 2007 between Bidz.com, Inc. and Claudia Liu (17)			
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.			
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.			
32	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (16)			
	·			

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of Registrant's Form S-1 (File No. 333-132545).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Registrant's Form S-1 (File No. 333-132545).
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Registrant's Form S-1 (File No. 333-132545).
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Registrant's Form 10 (File No. 000-51257).
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Registrant's Form 10 (File No. 000-51257).
- (6) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Registrant's Form S-1 (File No. 333-132545).
- (7) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Registrant's Form S-1 (File No. 333-132545).
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Registrant's Form 10 (File No. 000-51257).
- Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of Registrant's Form S-1 (File No. 333-132545).
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of Registrant's Form S-1 (File No. 333-132545).
- (11) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of Registrant's Form S-1 (File No. 333-132545).
- (12) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Registrant's Form 10 (File No. 000-51257).(13) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of Registrant's Form 10 (File No. 000-51257).
- (14) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of Registrant's Form S-1 (File No. 333-132545).
- (15) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of Registrant's Form 10 (File No. 000-51257)
- (16) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of Registrant's Form 10 (File No. 000-51257)
- (17) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of Registrant's Form 10 (File No. 000-51257)
- (18) The certification attached as Exhibit 32 that accompanies this Annual Report on Form 10-K is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Bidz.com, Inc. under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BIDZ.COM, INC.

By:	/s/ DAVID ZINBERG
-	

David Zinberg
President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: March 10, 2008

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ DAVID ZINBERG David Zinberg	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 10, 2008
/s/ LAWRENCE Y. KONG Lawrence Y. Kong	Chief Financial Officer and Director (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 10, 2008
/s/ PETER G. HANELT Peter G. Hanelt	Director	March 10, 2008
/s/ MAN JIT SINGH Man Jit Singh	Director	March 10, 2008
/s/ GARRY ITKIN	Director	March 10, 2008

SUT * Exhibit 31.

CERTIFICATION

- I, David Zinberg, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Bidz.com, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant is made known to us by others, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal year that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 10, 2008

/s/ David Zinberg

David Zinberg
Chairman of the Board, President and
Chief Executive Officer (Principal
Executive Officer)



CERTIFICATION

- I, David Zinberg, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Bidz.com, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant is made known to us by others, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal year that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 10, 2008

/s/ David Zinberg

David Zinberg Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

CERTIFICATION

- I, Lawrence Kong, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Bidz.com, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant is made known to us by others, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal year that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 10, 2008

/s/ Lawrence Kong

Lawrence Kong Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

CERTIFICATION

Each of the undersigned hereby certifies, for the purposes of section 1350 of chapter 63 of title 18 of the United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, in his capacity as an officer of Bidz.com, Inc. ("Bidz.com"), that, to his knowledge, the Annual Report of Bidz.com on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2007 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operation of Bidz.com.

Date: March 10, 2008

By /s/ David Zinberg

David Zinberg

Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 10, 2008

By /s/ Lawrence Kong

Lawrence Kong

Chief Financial Officer

